Kent Rare Plant Register Draft species accounts

E



Compiled by Geoffrey Kitchener and the Kent Botanical Recording Group Issue date: February 2017

Kent rare plant register

This section of the register covers:

Eleocharis acicularis Erica cinerea Euphorbia cyparissias Eleocharis multicaulis Erica tetralix Euphorbia exigua Eleocharis uniglumis Eriophorum angustifolium Euphorbia paralias Eleogiton fluitans Erodium maritimum Euphrasia confusa Epilobium palustre Erophila glabrescens Euphrasia officinalis subsp.

Epipactis palustris Eryngium campestre anglica

Epipactis phyllanthes Eryngium maritimum Euphrasia pseudokerneri

Equisetum sylvaticum Erysimum cheiranthoides

It is issued in draft, pending further development. Records, photographs and information regarding the occurrences of these plants in Kent will be welcome.

The register accounts give priority to data from 2010 onwards, but some historic data are also included (however, in the data tables, generally no specific sites without post-1970 records) so as to indicate trends and where the plant may yet be discovered or rediscovered. Distribution maps for records from 2010 onwards show vice counties 15 and 16 in white (the boundary between is a black line) and local authority boundaries by red lines. See the Kent webpage of the BSBI website at http://www.bsbi.org.uk/kent.html for the full Kent rare plant register list, the introduction to the register and a list of 'probably extinct' Kent plants.

There are two other Part E species which were originally listed for the purposes of the rare plant register, as having less than 11 tetrad records since 1990, but which have since been withdrawn, in the light of subsequent discoveries. Epilobium roseum (Pale Willowherb) has been widely found, especially in urban habitats, e.g. at Deal, Dover, Edenbridge, Greenwich, Maidstone, Sevenoaks, Tonbridge and Tunbridge Wells - see Kent Botany 2011. Erodium moschatum (Musk Stork's-bill) is widespread on grassy verges or banks, and may have arrived at some of these when originally seeded - see Kent Botany 2010 and 2011.

Abbreviations used in

the text:

Recorders' initials: AB Alan Blackman AC Andrew Craven ACla Ann Clarke AG Alfred Gay AH A.C.B. Henderson AS Alan Showler BB Brian Banks BF Brian Ferry **BG** Bob Gomes BS Bob Smith **BW** Brian Woodhams CH C. Harris CJC & AP James Cadbury

& A. Parker CP Chris Pogson CR Chris Rose DC David Carder

DG Doug Grant DJ David Johnson DM Daphne Mills DN David Nicolle DS David Steere DW D. Worsfold

FB Fnid Barrie **FGP** Fric Philo ES E. Scott FB Fred Booth FJR Fred Rumsey FR Francis Rose FRB F.R. Bryson GH G. Hemington **GK** Geoffrey Kitchener GPS G.P. Smith IR Ian Rickards

JA Jan Armishaw JBe Jim Bevan JEL J.E. Lousley JH J. Hendev JL J. Lockward JoG José Gibbs JLM J. Le Mesurier JP Joyce Pitt JRP J.R. Palmer JS Judith Shorter JW Jo Weightman

L&DH Lorna & Derek Holland LBB L. Breda Burt LM Lesley Mason LR Lliam Rooney

LS Leonie Seymour MB Mervyn Brown MG Margot Godfrey MH Margaret Holdaway MP Mike Porter MPa Mary Page MCS Mary Clare Sheahan

MW M. Waite NH N. Holmes NS Nick Stewart OL Owen Levshon PA Pat Acock PAk Peter Akers PH Peter Hodge

PHe Peter Heathcote RB R.A. Boniface **RBr Robert Brooks** RC Ray Clarke RD Dick David RF Lady Rosemary FitzGerald **RG** Bob Gomes **RM Richard Moyse**

RMB Rodney Burton RP R.D. Porley **RR** Rosemary Roberts RS R.M. Stokes

RW R.H. Woodall SA Sheila Anderson SB Sue Buckingham SC Steve Coates

SL Stephen Lemon SP Sue Poyser TH-D Tom Hart-Dyke TI Tim Inskipp

Other abbreviations: **BPS British Pteridological**

Society

CROW Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000 in litt. stated in correspondence KBRG Kent Botanical

Recording Group KWT Kent Wildlife Trust MNE Maidstone Museum Herbarium

RNR roadside nature

reserve

WFS Wild Flower Society

Eleocharis acicularis (L.) Roem. & Schult. (Needle Spike-rush)

Draft account


vc 15; not seen recently in vc 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Eleocharis acicularis has a scattered but patchy distribution across the British Isles, mostly on pond or lake margins. Its conservation status in Great Britain as a whole does not present any concerns; but in England it is regarded as **Near Threatened**. Kent comprises one of the patches of relative absence. Indeed, it has hardly been seen, and was supposed likely to be extinct, until recorded anew in 2012 and 2016. It is accordingly **rare** in Kent.

Account:

The Needle Spike-rush was not known in the county to Hanbury and Marshall (1899), although considered by the authors as quite likely to occur at the edge of pools on heaths, but to be overlooked due to its inconspicuousness. If one discounts an unconfirmed report from Chislehurst Common, It was first found by Francis Rose, abundant on the gravelly bed and edges of a small pond nearly one mile east of Hale Street, near East Peckham (TQ683493). It persisted there from 1954 at least until 1992, but could not be found in 1999. A visit in 2013 (SL) found two ponds, one with dominant *Crassula helmsii* (New Zealand Pigmyweed) around the edges or otherwise occupied by willows, the other nutrient-enriched and surrounded by rank vegetation and woodland. In neither was *E. acicularis* present or likely.





In May 2012, however, the species was discovered by Sue Buckingham (conf. Jeremy Roberts, BSBI referee) at (formerly Betteshanger Fowlmead) Country Park, TR 35795 53774, where it was growing vigorously abundance at the margins of an ephemeral pool on the former derived spoil tip from Betteshanger colliery. Here it

had developed turf-like patches as a result of the species' creeping rhizomatous growth. The coal spoil substrate is nutrient-poor, and hence general colonisation by plants of the Country Park is slow, and habitats remain open longer than would otherwise be the case.



This is an artificial site, where the plant is scarcely likely to have originated from the surrounding marshland, which is at a much lower level than the colliery spoil. It is possible that Needle Spike-rush at Betteshanger

(Fowlmead) is an aquarists' introduction. It is a plant favoured for aquascaping, because of its ability to grow totally submerged, presenting a lawn-like appearance in an aquarium. (It may be relevant that a more permanent pond elsewhere in the Park bore in 2012 a flourishing population of goldfish.) Alternatively, but perhaps less likely, it may be that the introduction was by natural means, through wildfowl.

A second site was discovered by Sharon Pilkington in October 2016 in a normally 'out of bounds' area (protecting breeding Lapwing) at the Dungeness RSPB reserve in the course of a bryophyte survey of damp sand habitats. There was quite a substantial population, growing submerged in shallow water in a sheltered corner of a gravel pit, TR 0729 1929. There were no flowers/fruits but a dense 'lawn' of leaves, some of which were detached and floating together with their rhizome. She surveyed a number of other pit margins that day, but found the extent of *Crassula helmsii* (New Zealand Pigmyweed) was such as would be likely to limit opportunities for the spread of the *Eleocharis* elsewhere. This colony looks to be one more likely to have arrived through the agency of wildfowl than the other current East Kent one, at Betteshanger Country Park.

Eleocharis acicularis is a tufted perennial, shorter and more slender than such similar species as *E. multicaulis* (Many-stalked Spike-rush) and *E. quinqueflora* (Few-flowered Spike-rush). The Betteshanger (Fowlmead) plants were (at 0.4mm stem diameter) not quite as slender as the norm for this species, apparently associated with their general vigour. The lowest glume of *E. acicularis* does not completely surround the base of the spikelet, as it does with those similar species. That glume is normally empty, but Betteshanger (Fowlmead) material included spikelets with a flower in the lowest glume.



Betteshanger (Fowlmead), Deal. All photos by Sue Buckingham, 16 May 2012

Eleocharis multicaulis (Sm.) Desv. (Many-stalked Spike-rush)

Draft account

Vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Eleocharis multicaulis is common in wet acid places in western Britain and Ireland, but sparse in eastern parts. There are no conservation concerns in Great Britain overall, nor in England, and its risk status is regarded as of

'Least Concern'. In Kent, however, it is reduced to two localities (in one of which it has not been recorded recently), and is **rare**.

Account:

M.H. Cowell first purported to record *Eleocharis multicaulis* in Kent, as to be seen in June in terrain bordering Oare Creek and at The Brooks near the Barn, Bysing Wood, Faversham (*A Floral Guide for East Kent, etc.,* 1839). Some doubt has been expressed as regards his identification, and as to whether *E. quinqueflora* (now extinct in Kent, but more appropriate in a saltmarsh environment) might have been involved. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) regarded *Eleocharis multicaulis* as rare in swampy places, especially

on heaths, and gave a few widespread locations, including at Hothfield Heath, where one at least of the joint authors observed it.



Hothfield. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 19 June 2011



Hothfield. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 29 May 2012

It has remained rare in Kent, and in Philp (2010) it is given as only recorded in wet boggy areas at Hothfield Common and on the edge of Louisa Lake, Bedgebury Forest (where it was also seen by Francis Rose in a floating sphagnum mat around 1947). A few plants at the gravelly edge of a small pond at East Peckham were also noted in the earlier survey published as Philp (1982), but not subsequently. Plants at Hawkenbury

Bog (sometimes credited to Kent, but actually in East Sussex, vc14) were lost with the decline of the habitat there and those at Keston Bog were gone at some point after 1961.

Eleocharis multicaulis is a small tufted perennial, requiring wet acid conditions, and its distribution in Kent is limited by the paucity of good bog habitats.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| East Peckham | TQ64Z | | After 1970, before 1981 | Philp (1982) | A few plants on sandy gravel at edge of small pond. |
| Hothfield | TQ94S | SSSI, KWT managed reserve | (1) 8 August 2015 (1) 25 May 2010 (2) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) BW (1) GK (2) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) TQ9645. (1) TQ9645 (also see dates as credited under photographs for other sightings at this location). |
| Bedgebury Forest | TQ7332 | | 13 July 1991 | EGP | |

Eleocharis uniglumis (Link) Schult. (Slender Spike-rush)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Slender Spike-rush is not uncommon in appropriate habitats across the British Isles and its conservation status is of 'Least Concern' both in England and in Great Britain as a whole. In Kent, however, there have never been many locations and in the early 21st century it was considered possible that it had gone from the county. It is still present, however, but is **rare**.

Account:

The first occurrence of *Eleocharis uniglumis* in Kent appears to be an 1861 specimen from Denge Marsh in John Stuart Mill's herbarium. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) list both this and an 1871 record (published 1872) by Professor J.F. Duthie, who subsequently became Superintendent of Saharanpur Botanical Gardens; but curiously, they credit the later record as being first for the county without any suggestion that they rejected the earlier one. Duthie noted the species at Sandhills, Deal and Ham Ponds. Hanbury and Marshall apparently knew of no other Kent records and took it to be very rare, possibly confused with the similar species *Eleocharis palustris* (Common Spike-rush).

There has been a scattering of records across the county since then, at sites such as those known to Francis Rose north of Shoreham (1954), at Stowting (1954) and Foots Cray Marshes (1946-55 and subsequently), all of which appear to have gone. Philp(1982) noted that the species could not be found in several former sites affected by land drainage or agricultural improvement, and that the 1971-80 county survey could only trace it in the Lydden Valley area and in one meadow at Snodland. In fact, there were two such meadows at Snodland (per JP), but the other has since been lost to development. In the course of the 1991-2005 survey (Philp, 2010), there were no sightings despite repeated search. Accordingly, although Eric Philp had expressed some







hope that Slender Spike-rush might yet be found in grazing meadows along the Swale or in the Lydden Valley (to which one might also add the Stour Valley), the species was in 2012 placed on the Kent Rare Plant Register supplement of 'probably extinct' Kent plants.

Then in June 2013, nine patches (the number depends on how one interprets discontinuities) were found by Stephen Lemon at the Holborough Marshes KWT reserve in a damp field which may well represent one of the meadow sites previously noted as at Snodland (Holborough is within Snodland parish). There is probably a history for such a location, as it appears to be one of those studied by Walters (1949)¹ and described as 'Rough grazed brackish marsh, Medway Estuary, Snodland, Kent 2. ix. 47'. The field is subject to increasingly brackish influence where its approaches the tidal Medway flood banks to the west. *Eleocharis uniglumis* grew along the edges of the shallow dry ditches in an old drainage system in the centre of the field, usually where the ground was bare and less dominated by *Juncus* spp. A further survey later that month found that the most consistently associated species were, in order of frequency of association: *Carex otrubae* (False Fox-sedge) and

Equisetum palustre (Marsh Horsetail) (both present in every case); Juncus inflexus (Hard Rush) and Potentilla anserina (Silverweed); Rumex conglomeratus (Clustered Dock); Poa trivialis (Rough Meadow-grass); and then Alopecurus geniculatus (Marsh Foxtail), Elytrigia repens (Common Couch) and Holcus lanatus (Yorkshire-fog).

Holborough , plant showing reddish-purple stem / sheaths base.

Photo by Lliam Rooney, 25 June 2013

Eleocharis palustris (Common Spike-rush) was also present in the field, generally in and beside the wet dykes rather than the shallow dry ditches. However, there was one dried-out ditch with both Slender and Common Spike-rushes present, including some plants of unusual vigour; but no signs of hybridity were identified by Jeremy Roberts (BSBI referee) in material examined



by him. Intriguingly, Walters (1949) refers to a west Kent plant which had cytological irregularities, giving haploid numbers varying from n=19 to 24 in the pollen-grain mitoses, seemingly the same plant as regards which he wrote in 1987 (*in litt*.) as on cytological evidence 'probably *palustre* (2n=38) x *uniglumis* (2n=46)'. This putative hybrid may be inferred as having been found at Snodland / Holborough.

In August 2016, *E. uniglumis* was found by Stephen Lemon to be still present near Hacklinge, in an area which had been described by Francis Rose in 1950² as a tract west of the main road south of Hacklinge Farm, dominated in part by *Blysmus compressus* (Flat-sedge) and *E. uniglumis*. He considered it to be (as at 1950) an area whose vegetation had been modified by grazing and mowing for hay over a long period, dominated largely by grasses and sedges characteristic of moist calcareous soils and subjected to brackish water conditions at some time past. The 2016 rediscovery was in a cattle-grazed, marshy, sedge-rich fen pasture in Ham valley, on the west side of the A258 south of Hacklinge Farm, within Hacklinge Marshes SSSI. Plants were widespread but not obvious, due to heavy grazing, and they were most noticeable in the lush ungrazed growth that fringed the edges of old cow-pats. Hacklinge, Ham Fen and, to a lesser degree, the Lydden Valley are associated areas where Francis Rose had known the species to be present.

Eleocharis uniglumis in Kent has generally been a plant of damp meadows, grazing marshes or fen, often with calcareous influence, as with the Holborough site, Ham Fen/Hacklinge and as is assumed to have been the case with Shoreham and Stowting sites. It may grow in a near-brackish habitat, but many of its sites are remote from the sea or tidal rivers.

.

¹ Walters, S.M. (1949). Biological Flora of the British Isles: *Eleocharis R. Br. J. Ecol.* 37: 192-206.

² Rose, F. (1950). The East Kent Fens. *Journal of Ecology* **38**: 292-302.

It is a patch-forming perennial, resembling *Eleocharis* palustris (Common Spike-rush), but with thinner stems and with the base of the stems and sheaths reddish-purple (yellowish-brown in *E. palustris*). The lowest glume, which is empty, more or less completely encircles the base of the spikelet, whereas the encirclement of the base of the *E. palustris* spikelet is shared by two empty glumes. The spikelet of *Eleocharis uniglumis* often shows a pronounced lean on one side.



Material from Holborough, showing (left) *Eleocharis uniglumis* with single encircling basal glume and (right) *Eleocharis palustris* with two basal encircling glumes. Photo by Stephen Lemon. 15 June 2013

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| North Erith Marshes (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4880 | | 3 August 2004 | JP | Grazing marsh at TQ 48831 80054. |
| Snodland | TQ76B | | After 1970, before 1981 | Philp (1982) | Probably the same site as that at TQ7062 below. |
| Holborough | TQ7062 | KWT managed reserve | (1) 7 June 2014 (2) 25 June 2013 (3) 15 June 2013 | (1) KBRG meeting (2) GK & LR (3) SL | (1) TQ 70690 62363, previously found by SL, a small patch mixed with <i>E. palustris</i> in low ground of old drainage runnel near <i>Dactylorhiza</i> x kerneriorum. Also, at TQ 70687 62304, previously found by SL, a patch 1 x 2 metres, <i>E. palustris</i> being present a little to the south. (2) (a) TQ 70749 62369, 1m x 2m patch by dried-out shallow (eastwest) ditch. (b) TQ 70756 62371 to TQ70762 62373, a 2m x 2m patch and then for 4 or 5m along the south side of dried-out shallow (east-west) ditch. (c) TQ 70767 62311, a 3m x 2m patch along the upper edge of dried-out shallow (north-south) ditch. (d) TQ 70763 62321, a 5m x 4m patch along a dried-out shallow (north-south) ditch, with Eleocharis palustris at bottom. (e) TQ 70751 62346 (a 2m x 1m patch), TQ 70751 62346 (a 2m x 1m patch) and TQ 70749 62352 (a 2m x 0.5m patch), all along a dried-out shallow (north-south) ditch. (3) TQ 70761 62366, nine patches along a dry ditch system in field centre, usually where ground bare |
| North east of | TQ97G | | 27 July 1991 | JP | and less dominated by <i>Juncus</i> spp. Marsh dyke near farm. |
| Queenborough | | | 4.1 | (1) | |
| Ham Fen / Hacklinge | TR3454 | SSSI | (1) 13 August 2016 (2) 24 July 1991 | (1) SL (2) FR | (1) Cattle grazed marshy sedge rich fen pasture in Ham valley, on west side of A258 south of Hacklinge |

| | | | | Farm (Unit 56 within Hacklinge Marshes SSSI). Area south of the dividing dyke, TR340542 / TR341542 / TR341542 / TR341541. Widespread but not obvious due to heavy grazing. Notable in lush ungrazed growth that fringed edge of old cow pats. (2) Recorded as Ham Fen. This area's records go back to 1871. |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| Lydden Valley | TR35M | After 1970, before 1981 | Philp (1982) | (This may be the same location as TR3454 above.) |
| North of Cooper Street | TR36A | 2 August 1987 | RF&AH | Visits on 23 May 1987 and 2 August 1987 were recorded just as at TQ36A. RF's annotated maps, however, show presence along ditches at TR 3146 6056; and at TR 3008 6145 and TR 3029 6196 (both off Potts Farm Drove, but it may be that the latter ditch no longer exists). In an adjoining monad, TR2962, a ditch is also marked for the species at TR 2983 6222 [not seen, 22 July 2014, GK, a small marshy area at a field ditch junction may have been this location, but perhaps susceptible to herbicidal treatment of adjoining arable]. |

Eleogiton fluitans (L.) Link (Floating Club-rush)

Draft account.

vc 16; apparently gone from vc 15

Rarity / scarcity status:

Floating Club-rush is not uncommon in the British Isles as a whole, and so is regarded as of 'Least Concern' in relation to risks to its survival, both as regards England and Great Britain, but it has a primarily western distribution. The plant's fewer eastern occurrences are related to the availability of peaty water. This is a

restricted habitat in Kent, and as *Eleogiton fluitans* is now only to be found there in one station, it is treated as **rare** in the county.



Account:

Eleogiton fluitans was first published for Kent by Colin Milne and Alexander Gordon in *Indigenous Botany* (1793) as seen 'in the river *Ravensbourne*, betwixt *Deptford* and *Lewisham*'. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) regarded it as local, to be found in streams, pools and ditches, especially on heaths. It had been seen by, or reported to, them from West Kent localities such as Keston Common, Stone Marshes and Tunbridge Wells; historic East Kent records appeared to have been limited to a sighting near Brabourne, probably between 1829 and 1838.

Bedgebury. Photos by Lliam Rooney, 15 August 2014

By the time of Philp (1982), records for the administrative county were down to two sites: Bedgebury Forest and Appledore. The species had also continued to be present in a pond at Keston Common, in metropolitan West Kent, which was outside the scope of the 1971-1980 administrative county survey. Only the Bedgebury Forest site was recorded in the course of the 1991-2005 survey (Philp, 2010), where it grows in the nutrient-poor acidic waters of Louisa Lake.



Eleogiton fluitans is very different from related British species, by virtue of being an aquatic with branched, narrow-leaved stems which float in the water. It may be found with other submerged aquatics, such as *Potamogeton* spp. (see habitat photo).

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|---|--|
| Keston Common (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4164 | | (1) 1988 (2) 8 August 1987 (3) 21 September 1986 | (1) GH (2) RMB (3) JP | (1) As TQ46C. (2) TQ419640, east side of pond. [Not seen on 18 June 2000 or since, RMB.] (3) Abundant in upper pond. |
| Bedgebury Forest | TQ7332 | | (1) 26 July 2016 (2) 11 August 2012 (3)) After 1990, before 2006 (4) After 1970, before 1981 | (1) SB & OL (2) KBRG meeting (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) (4) Philp (1982) | (1) Still in the same woodland pool (Stone Pool) but the surface now much taken over by <i>Potamogeton natans</i> . Plants continuing in a vegetative state, still no flowers present. (2) Covering about 3 square metres of mud and water at margin of woodland pool, non-flowering. (3) Abundant in Louisa Lake. Also present in 2014 as indicated by photo captions. |
| Near Appledore | TQ93Q | | After 1970, before 1981 | Philp (1982) | In fair quantity in a marsh dyke. |



Louisa Lake, habitat. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 15 August 2014

Epilobium palustre L. (Marsh Willowherb)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Epilobium palustre is common in west acidic sites across the British Isles, and in 1978-99 it was recorded in 72% of 10km squares in Great Britain. Its English and Great British conservation status is one of 'Least Concern'. However, other than in parts of East Anglia, it is distinctly less common in south east England, and there have been losses, particularly since 1950. These have probably arisen from agricultural improvements, drainage and eutrophication. In Kent, there are relatively few recent records, and the species is **scarce**.

Hothfield. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 9 September 2011

Account:

Marsh Willowherb was first recorded in Kent by Edward Jacob in his Plantae Favershamienses (1777), referring to it as "In moist Places - common". Hanbury and Marshall (1899) refer to it as local, in ditches and swamps, and give 16 locations for the species, in some of which it is said to be common or very common. The authors (although no doubt this is attributable to Marshall, who was particularly interested in willowherbs) say that "Doubtless, it is considerably more frequent than these few notes indicate. Our British willow-herbs have been much neglected, owing to the difficulty of separating their 'varieties', most of which are really hybrids". Uncertainties of identification - although this is not a particularly 'difficult' species – have probably continued to obscure population trends in the county. However, it is likely that the losses of good wet acid habitats in the county since Hanbury and Marshall's time have operated to reduce the frequency of this species.



Philp (1982) gave 29 tetrad records, mostly in the southern half of the county, with a concentration in TR04, around Ashford. Marsh Willowherb was then said to be rather local and scarce, in marshes and wet ditches. In Philp (2010) only four tetrad records are given: from Hothfield Common (two tetrads, this being a Hanbury and Marshall site), Hatch Park and Gibbin's Brook. This major reduction in records as between 1971-80 and 1991-2005 surveys could be interpreted as a population collapse. If it were attributable to habitat changes, one would expect to see parallel reductions in Kent populations of other species of bog and wet heathland habitats; but changes of this order are not apparent from the two surveys. However, there is also the possibility that the ostensible change is an artefact of recording. The records in Philp (2010) were derived from Eric Philp's own observations; those in Philp (1982) were contributed by volunteer recorders, with varying degrees of expertise. It is possible that *Epilobium palustre* was at the time of the 1971-80 survey much scarcer in Kent than the survey results indicate. So, whereas the records of Hanbury and Marshall (1899) may, according to Marshall, have suffered from under-estimate, the records of Philp (1982) may have suffered from over-estimate. Nine tetrads (the equivalent of 11 monads) have 2010-16 records, aligning better with the Philp (2010) results than those of Philp (1982).

Epilobium palustre is in Kent found in bogs and in the vegetation of sandy or gravelly ground, generally acid and with a peaty / mossy overlay, around the margin of ponds or in damp hollows. It particularly favours areas which are subject to winter inundation and may grow with *Juncus* spp. and occasionally *Phragmites australis* (Common Reed). The plant spreads by wind-borne seed and by means of turions, small fleshy buds growing at the end of thread-like stolons, which are capable of regenerating in situ or becoming detached, and spreading with winter floods.



Lydd Ranges. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 7 August 2012

Recording errors may arise through the plasticity of many *Epilobium* species, and it should certainly not be assumed that a willowherb in a marsh is Marsh Willowherb. *Epilobium palustre* may also grow with *Epilobium obscurum* (Short-fruited Willowherb), but the Marsh Willowherb is distinguishable by its very narrow leaves, inrolling beneath at the margins, and by its long, narrow seeds, which exceed 1.3mm in length, and may be 2 mm. The thread-like stolons, with their turions, are also characteristic, but sampling may be destructive.

Hothfield. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 9 September 2011

Hybrids with *Epilobium*hirsutum (Great

Willowherb) and *E.*

obscurum have been recorded at Dungeness, the former in 1913 and the latter by Marshall in 1891, where he found it to be frequent, with the parents. Such hybrids are now limited by the scarcity of *Epilobium palustre* itself, but the cross with *E. obscurum* was recorded again in 2012, on MoD land at Lydd Ranges, within vc15 East Kent, but on the East Sussex side of the administrative county border.



| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | (1) 2.1. | (1) 0 | |
| Chiddingstone | TQ5146, TQ5147 | | (1) 24 August 2013 | (1) SL | (1) In good numbers in winter |
| Causeway | | | (2) 27 June 1982 | (2) KFC | flooded grassland and around the |
| | | | | meeting | edges of ponds in two separate |
| | | | | | areas on Chiddingstone reserve. |
| | | | | | Noted at TQ 51128 46983, TQ |
| | | | | | 51097 46992, TQ 51055 47083 and |
| | | | | | TQ 51057 47077. Grows amidst |
| | | | | | Juncus app. and also Salix re- |
| | | | | | growth, sometimes with other |
| | | | | | Epilobium species nearby. |
| | | | | | (2) Grid reference not given. |
| Hothfield | TR94S | SSSI & KWT | (1) 15 August 2013 | (1) CO | (1) TQ9645. Two plants in full |
| Common | | managed | (2) 9 September | (2) SB | flower c.4 metres west of northern |
| | | reserve | 2011 | (3) EGP | end of boardwalk over main bog. |
| | | | (3) After 1990, | (Philp, 2010) | (2) Several plants in bog at |
| | | | before 2006 | | TQ96945 45685. |
| Hothfield | TR94T | SSSI & KWT | (1) 8 august 2015 | (1) BW | (1) TQ9645. |
| Common | | managed | (2) 9 September | (2) SB | (2) A few scattered plants in |

| | | reserve | 2011 (3) After 1990, | (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) | sphagnum bog at TQ 96755 46114. (3) Recorded as TQ94T. |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | before 2006 | | Recorded at least back to 1944. |
| Lydd Ranges | TR0118 | MoD land | 7 August 2012 | OL, GK, SB & TI | Scattered at TR 01436 18750 with Juncus effusus. In East Sussex administrative county (but vc15). |
| Lydd Ranges | TR0319 | MoD land | 7 August 2012 | OL, GK, SB & TI | On west, north and east sides of pond on acid ground, with fluctuating water levels. Small quantities on margins, shady areas close to surrounding <i>Salix</i> (at TR 0353 1939, TR 0351 1938 and TR 0356 1936). Large quantities at western end in wet moss below <i>Phragmites</i> , e.g. at TR 0348 1937. |
| Hatch Park | TR04Q | SSSI | (1) 29 August 2013 (2) 1 August 2012 (3) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) & (2) GK (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) One plant by Heron Pond, TR0640. (2) Occasional in marshy acid ground in rushes on north west side of Heron Pond, Hatch Park, TR 0663 4064, with <i>E. obscurum</i> . (3) Recorded as TR04Q. |
| Dungeness | TR0618, TR0717, TR0718 | RSPB reserve | 2012 | BB | Present in pits 4 (TR0717), 8 (TR0718) & 6 (TR0618); in the latter, frequent in peaty area following <i>Salix</i> clearance. Was present in pit 4 in 2002, as also pit 5 (TR0618), from which it appears to have gone. |
| Gibbin's Brook | TR1138 | CROW access land, SSSI | (1) 30 June 2013 (2) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) KBRG meeting (2) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) Widespread in northern bog, less so in southern bog other than in wetter areas amidst <i>Juncus</i> spp.(2) Recorded as TR13E. |
| Sandwich Bay | TR3555 | | 23 June 2001 | FR | In dune slacks. |

Epipactis palustris (L.) Crantz (Marsh Helleborine)

Draft account. Habitat photo needed.

vc 15; probably gone from vc 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

The Marsh Helleborine enjoys a widespread but patchy distribution in England, Wales and Ireland, but with only minor presence in Scotland. In Great Britain its risk status for conservation purposes is regarded as being of 'Least Concern', but in England it is treated as **Near Threatened**. In Kent it is very local and **scarce**.

Account:

The first published county record – indeed, the first British record - for *Epipactis palustris* appears in the *Stirpium Illustrationes* of Matthias de L'Obel, edited by William How and published in 1655, well after L'Obel's death. The book describes it as a plant with a stem a span tall (seven inches) and with a spurred flower becoming purplish from white, found in 1601, in the water meadows of the village called Mary-cray. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) assessed the species as local, found in boggy places, usually among bushes or long herbage, and gave a scattering of records from L'Obel onwards across the county. By the time of Philp (1982) and Philp (2010), records had reduced to two areas: a chalk pit at Swanscombe and scattered colonies on fixed sand dunes over three tetrads in the Sandwich Bay area. Both these areas are representative of habitat types for this species.

The Swanscombe pit held 200 plants in 1976, including a yellowish-white flowered form (forma or var. ochroleuca), when seen by John Palmer³, and the population had become over 1000 in several patches on the pit floor by 1982, according to David Johnson. Even then, the habitat was beginning to dry out with partial infilling of the pit, which continued into the early 1990s, and the Marsh Helleborine did not long survive these changes; it could not be found in 1994. It is not the only West Kent record related to chalk pit floors which may be subject to periodic inundation. James Sherard recorded it as very common in old chalk pits between Eltham and North Cray, as mentioned in the third edition of John Ray's Methodica Stirpium Britannicarum (1724). There are also records relating to chalk pits at Greenhithe and near Empire Paper Mills (probably both TQ57X) in the 1940s and 1950s. The latter site may be responsible for literature references⁴ to a dwarf form, possibly affected by drying out. Appearances in drier chalk habitats have been suggested to be residual from what were originally damper conditions, or to reflect seasonal dampness.



Sandwich. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 9 July 2010

The fixed dunes of Sandwich Bay, with lime content from their shelly sand, provide another calcareous substrate, and the slacks are also subject to periodic inundation, providing an environment analogous to chalk

³ BSBI News (September 1993) 64: 17. This appears to be the only recorded Kent sighting of this form other than a couple of plants seen at Sandwich Bay by David Johnson in 1992.

⁴ E.g., V.S. Summerhayes (1952), Wild Orchids of Britain.

pit floors, despite being so different in appearance. Records here go at least as far back as 1839 when M.J. Cowell (in *A Floral Guide for East Kent, etc.*) published plants lists, including this species for the marshes near the sand downs between Deal and Sandwich noted by the Revd. M.J. Berkeley. Marshall also found *Epipactis palustris* to be locally abundant near Sandwich, so there is a history of continuity for what is now its only Kent station. It has also been known north of Sandwich, at Stonelees, where there was a colony of over 1000 spikes in the mid-1950s amongst grass and sparse *Phragmites australis* (Common Reed), seemingly a habitat which was drier than would normally be expected. This population apparently rapidly declined afterwards, perhaps related to a cessation of grazing.⁵

Inland, south of Sandwich has also provided a habitat where the Marsh Helleborine may yet be re-found. Lewis Dillwyn provided to the Linnean Society in 1801 a catalogue of plants which included this species as present in boggy ground about Ham Ponds, marshes about Hacklinge between Deal and Sandwich, and in Wingham Marshes. Its presence about Ham Ponds was also known to Hanbury and Marshall (1899), and



Marsh Helleborine was seen here by at least one of the authors. It was known here to Francis Rose from 1946, growing with *Cladium mariscus* (Great Fen-sedge) and *Juncus subnodulosus* (Bluntflowered Rush) and was still present in July 1991, when seen by him. The peaty fen of Ham Ponds has a calcareous content related to historic changes in the direction and outlets of the River Stour, and the taking of drainage from the chalk. This different habitat accordingly has features in common with the chalk and dune habitats.

Sandwich. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 9 July 2010

Sandwich. E. palustris var. albiflora. Photo by Daphne Mills, July 2010

Epipactis palustris is a distinctive orchid, not readily confused with any of the other British species, particularly because of the generally whitish flowers ambiguously streaked or suffused with brown, green or reddish coloration, and with a frilly lip. Pure white flowers have been recorded at Sandwich — var. albiflora Luscher, which lacks even the purple veining of the interior of the hypochile which would normally be found in white variants (forma or var. ochroleuca).

The plant may form a patch of spikes or rosettes from shoots arising at the ends of branching rhizomes creeping horizontally



just below ground surface. The shallow rhizomes place the plant at risk of permanent changes in water table levels.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| Swanscombe | TQ6074 | | (1) After 1990, | (1) EGP | (1) Chalk pit, recorded as TQ67C. |
| | | | before 2006 | (Philp, 2010) | (2) TQ 607 746. |
| | | | (2) 19 July 1986 | (2) GK | (3) Over 1000 plants, plants |
| | | | (3) 1982 | (3) DJ | growing in several patches with |

⁵ Dennis Harle (1977), in *Sandwich Bay Observatory Report*, comm. D. Johnson.

_

| | | (4) 10 July 1976 | (4) JRP | very robust Dactylorhiza fuchsii, Neottia. ovata and Pyrola rotundifolia. (4) Over 200 plants, including var.ochroleuca. Also present: Dactylorhiza praetermissa, Anacamptis pyramidalis, Pyrola rotundifolia. |
|---------------------------|--------|---|--|---|
| Ham Fen | TR3454 | 24 July 1991 | FR | |
| Sandwich / Pegwell Bay | TR3463 | 23 June 1997 | JS | TR 341 632. |
| Sandwich Bay area | TR35N | (1) 1 August 2011 (2) 22 June 2002 (3) 4 August 1996 | (1) SB (2) EGP (Philp, 2010) (3) FR | (1) Small colony in damp dune grassland at TR 35803 57536(2) Fixed sand dunes.(3) TR3560. Locally frequent in slacks by pool. |
| Sandwich Bay area | TR35P | (1) 16 July 2013 (2) 17 July 2010 (3) 9July 2010 (4) 24 June 2010 (5) After 1990, before 2006 (6) 4 August 1996 | (1) CO (1) DM, FB & JS (2) JA (3) SB (4) EGP (Philp, 2010) (5) FR | (1) At least 75 flowering spikes in damp hollow by willows adjoining ditch just past barrier gate across track, (+1) c TR 355 592. (2) Var. albiflora Luscher found at TR3559 in a damp, Salix-bordered depression in part of the golf course, beside a public footpath and a small drainage channel. (Two flowering spikes also seen in TR3559 by AB, 11 July 2012.) (3) 30 spikes at TR 35383 59158, 30 at 35386 59164, 14 at TR 35302 59140. (4) TR 35385 59158, large colony in dune slack by public footpath, possible threat from trampling. (5) Fixed sand dunes. (6) TR3559. Locally abundant in dune slack. |
| Sandwich Bay area | TR35T | (1) 1 August 2011 (2) 27 June 2010 (3) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) SB (2) SC (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) 12 plants in dune slack at TR 36300 57265.(2) TR 362 573.(3) Fixed sand dunes. |

Epipactis phyllanthes G.E. Sm. (Green-flowered Helleborine)

Draft account

vc 16; gone from vc 15

Rarity / scarcity status:

The Green-flowered Helleborine is a nationally scarce plant with a scattered distribution in England and Wales, and few locations in Ireland. Its overall distribution is not static, as it has been found that populations tend not to be long-lived, but there has been a general decline in southern England, partly compensated by new populations in the north. The threat level to this species in Great Britain and in England is one of 'Least Concern'. In Kent, it is **scarce.**

Foots Cray Meadows. Photo by Ann Clarke, 9 August 2008

Account:

The first Kent record appears to have been at Rubery Down (otherwise Three Burrows Down), Womenswold in East Kent (TR247497), a colony which Donald Young⁶ described as being still extant around 1952 with less than 10 plants, having been seen irregularly since 1926 in a small beech copse on chalk with thick ivy ground cover. It was written up by Brooke and Rose⁷ as being covered by a new nomenclatural combination of *Epipactis vectensis*, a name which is not now used, but which was broader in concept than the variety known as var. *vectensis*. Young considered that the Womenswold plants were var. *degenera*, a variety from southern England in which the lip of the flower is

degenerate in the sense that it is not, or is imperfectly, differentiated

into two parts (the differentiation of the lip into hypochile and epichile being a normal character of the genus *Epipactis*). No records from this site are known since the 1950s.

Lullingstone, roadside habitat, in beech litter. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 16 August 2013


Green-flowered Helleborine was first found in West Kent at Lullingstone in 1956, communicated by Mrs Denton to Donald Young for confirmation. It was described by Francis Rose as being abundant in a beech belt by the railway. The population was damaged as a result of the realignment of the A225 few yards away in early 1973, which created a chalk embankment cutting into the edge of the plantation and let in the



D.P. Young. Studies in the British Epipactis. Part IV. A revision of the phyllanthes-vectensis-pendula group. Watsonia (1952) 2:259-276.

⁷ B.J. Brooke & F. Rose. A new species of British Epipactis. *Journal of Botany* (1940) 78: 81-89.

light. It was feared lost after this (*Flora of the London Area*, 1983), but it was seen in 1975 and its survival was monitored by David Johnson⁸ from 1979. More recently, regular counts have also been made by KWT. Numbers have risen from 20-30 each year to over 100 in 2007 and 2011. The road embankment has scrubbed up so as to restore shade, and the helleborine has spread from the wooded top of the bank where a path runs,




to the road-verge at the shaded foot of the embankment, close to the carriageway. Those plants at the top of the bank, under the shade of mature tree cover, tend to be larger, 20-35cm tall with up to 15 florets. The roadside plants (see illustration) tend to be small and weedy, seldom more than 20cm high with 5-10 florets.

In effect the roadside plants have comprised an extension of the original embankment colony which has become more prolific than the original. The accompanying chart shows how

the roadside plants regularly out-number the others. Their appearance on the chart in 1998 reflects when they were first observed in the course of monitoring. They may well have been present before then, although it is a relatively new habitat, created by roadworks. The 'crash' in numbers for 2006 was at least in part the impact of highway strimming.

There have been other shaded chalk sites of north west Kent in which this species has been discovered – some pits in the Stone / Greenhithe /Swanscombe area are mentioned in the table below. These have in the past sometimes harboured significant numbers of plants. David Johnson has pointed out that the Craylands Gorge population probably held the largest British population in the early 21st century. This is a deep shaded chalk cutting, excavated to serve as a mineral railway connecting chalk pits, but long disused in relation to its original purpose. *Epipactis phyllanthes* seems to have been first found here by John Palmer in 1979 and the maximum



numbers recorded were 390 in 2001. Since then, the population has fallen away (see chart) without any obvious explanation – there do not seem to have been any marked habitat changes. This may be no more than the recognised tendency of the plant to come and go. A.J. Richards⁹ has stated that site occupancy seems rarely to exceed 30 years. He also mentions that the species is frequently associated with *Pyrola minor* (Common Wintergreen); however, at Craylands Gorge its association is with *Pyrola rotundifolia* (Round-

leaved Wintergreen), also a plant of damp, shaded calcareous habitats, and Hedera helix (Common Ivy).

An interesting discussion generally as regards north west Kent occurrences, from which some of the following observations are taken, is provided by David Johnson in More notes on Kentish Orchids: Green-flowered helleborine (*Epipactis phyllanthes*), *The Newsletter of the Kent Field Club* (February 2008) 67: 4-6. He has also kindly assisted with comments on an early draft of this account.

⁹ A.J.Richards, Epipactis phyllanthes G.E.Sm., in (eds.) A. Stewart, D.A. Pearman & C.D. Preston, Scarce Plants in Britain (1994), JNCC.

The prospects for records of continued and new occurrences of this species on the north west Kent chalk are diminished by the decline of the Craylands Gorge population and by the position regarding old chalk pit habitats. Kent was historically of international importance for cement production, and much chalk was excavated for this purpose, especially in the area from Dartford to Northfleet. The habitats which the former chalk workings have supplied for *Epipactis phyllanthes* are disappearing with development, and the increasing installation of palisade security fencing has reduced accessibility to those which remain.

Within the British Isles as a whole, *Epipactis phyllanthes* is known to grow in a wider range of habitats, often on sandy soil, including dunes. Such occurrences are not yet recorded in Kent, but another habitat-type which does have Kent records is riverside terrain subject to occasional flooding and sometimes with *Salix* spp. present. One such population existed by the River Darent in the grounds of Lullingstone Castle, mainly under *Aesculus hippocastanum* (Horse-chestnut), but also amongst the roots of *Alnus glutinosa* (Alder), down to water level. This colony usually consisted of 30 to 40 flowering plants, with a maximum of about 60. It was extinguished by the trees being blown down in the great Storm of 1987. Although not far from the Lullingstone A225 site on chalk, it was a distinct site, in a distinct habitat. An analogous population was discovered in August 2008 by Ann Clarke at Foots Cray Meadows. There were then 14 plants on flat ground near the River Cray under *Salix x fragilis* (Crack-willow) with very ordinary vegetation of shaded disturbed



ground: Aegopodium podagraria (Ground-elder), Glechoma hederacea (Ground-ivy) and Urtica dioica (Common Nettle).

Foots Cray Meadows. Photo by Ann Clarke, 9 August 2008

Green-flowered Helleborine is a fairly inconspicuous green plant, distinguishable from other similar helleborines by its infloresecence-axis being glabrous and the flowers drooping as soon as they open. The flowers may not open properly at all, and

the species is self-pollinating, which can result in local variability. Currently, five variants are known in the British Isles, although intermediates exist between these. In Kent, var. *degenera* has been identified at Womenswold and Lullingstone; and var. *phyllanthes* at Foots Cray.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|--|----------------|---|-----------------------|-------------|--|
| Foots Cray Meadows (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4872 | London Borough of Bexley public open space | 5 August 2008 | ACIa | TQ 4836 7204, 14 plants at pathside near river under <i>Salix x fragilis</i> . |
| Lullingstone, riverside | TQ5364 | | Before October 1987 | TH-D, comm. | TQ 532 643, in Lullingstone Castle grounds, destroyed by Great Storm of October 1987. See account in text above. |
| Lullingstone, A225 | TQ5364 | Part of site is RNR | See text and comments | | Many records from 1956 onwards, in area of TQ 533 642 to TQ 534 655. |

| | | | 1 | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | | More recent counts are 102 (2007, DJ); 90 (2008, DJ), 96 (2009, DJ); 67 (2010, DJ - a KWT count of 79 was also made); 104 (2011, DJ -the equivalent KWT count was 82); 86 (2012, DJ); .70 (2013, DJ), 81 on roadside, 4 in plantation (2014, DJ); 54 on roadside, 11 in plantation (2015, DJ). |
| Stone | TQ5774 (TQ57S) | (1) July 2001 (2) 23 July 2001 | (1) DJ (2) EGP & PHe | (1) A single plant flowering on the edge of a tarmac path close to a new housing estate built a couple of years previously close to Stone Churchyard. (2) May be the same plant, recorded as in TQ57S. Tetrad TQ57S has a history of records. Philp (1982) refers to a small colony in a chalk quarry. This appears to refer to a pit at TQ 578 748 near Stone Churchyard. DJ recorded between 20 and 30 plants flowering there under scrub in the late 1970s and early 1980s. The last record was 14 flowering in 1986; by 1988 the area had completely scrubbed over and has since been developed. A larger colony at another pit in this tetrad, the Atlas Stone Company pit at Stone, was destroyed (Philp, 1982). |
| Craylands Gorge | TQ5974 | 13 July 2010 | DJ | TQ 5988 7479, only one plant found growing in dense ivy. No apparent changes in the part of the Gorge where the plant grows. Numbers have grown and declined: one spike (1979, JRP), 27 (13 July 1982, JRP), 140 (1999, DJ), 390 (2001, DJ), 220 (2002, DJ), 250 (2003, DJ), 210 (2004, DJ), 70 (2005, DJ), 2 (2007, DJ), 6 (2009, DJ), 1 (2010, DJ). The record for TQ57X in Philp (2010) – seen 23 July 1999 by EGP and PHe – refers to this location. |
| Swanscombe | TQ67C | 14 July 2000 | EGP & PHe | Found earlier by PHe and RBr, in chalk pit, when there were three plant below <i>Salix</i> surrounded by <i>Buddleja</i> (c. TQ 612 746, per DJ and subsequently affected by high speed 1 rail link). |

Equisetum sylvaticum L. (Wood Horsetail)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Wood Horsetail is widespread in suitable habitats in western Britain from Wales northwards, in northern England and Ireland, and throughout Scotland. It is not regarded generally as at risk and its English and Great British conservation status is one of 'Least Concern'. In southern lowlands, it appears to be in long term decline and in Kent it is **scarce**.

Hunstead Wood near Chartham Hatch. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 9 May 2011

Account:

The first published notice of Wood Horsetail in Kent is by Christopher Merrett, in his *Pinax rerum naturalium Britannicarum* (1666), where he listed the species as "In *Charlton* woods". Hanbury and Marshall (1899) were able to give only a few records and regarded it as rare in Kent, to be found in damp copses. Philp (1982) recorded it in six tetrads: one near Chartham Hatch and the remainder near Tunbridge Wells. In Philp (2010) these were reduced to the Chartham Hatch population and only three tetrads near Tunbridge Wells (albeit that one of these was a different tetrad, where seen by Joyce Pitt in 1986). This diminution may represent part of the general decline in the lowlands of southern Britain, or it may be that further search would



restore the missing populations. However, it is likely that the species is moving from scarcity towards rarity in Kent.



Pembury. Habitat photo by Sue Buckingham, 16 May 2013

The current areas of presence represent long term locations. Chartham Hatch was a location contributed to Hanbury and Marshall's Flora (1899) by the Right Revd. John Mitchinson (formerly Bishop of Barbados and subsequently Master of Pembroke College, Oxford). Tunbridge Wells area records were known at least as far back as 1805, when Dawson Turner and Lewis Dillwyn state that Mr J. Woods junior reported it from "Woods North East of Tunbridge Wells".

Equisetum sylvaticum grows mainly on acidic, humus-rich soils, particularly where groundwater seeps through. Most of the Kent occurrences appear related to soils over the acidic Tunbridge Wells Sands or the junction of that formation with Wadhurst Clay, although the Chartham Hatch location is on Thanet Sand. Whilst Wood Horsetail is named for its growth in shady conditions, observations at the latter site, and at Pembury, where it is exposed to light at a roadside, suggest that dampness may be more important than shade. It is a species readily distinguished by the drooping branches of its shoots, whose delicate appearance arises from their slenderness and repeated branching.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|-------------|----------------|--|--|---|--|
| Speldhurst | TQ54K | | (1) June 1991 (2) 1986 | (1) EGP (2) JP | (1) Wood in Danemore Park, TQ5440. |
| High Brooms | TQ54V | Roundabout Wood is part of Local Nature Reserve owned by Tunbridge Wells Borough Council | (1) 17 September 2016 (2) 4 Aug 2015 (3) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) BPS meeting (2) GK & PA (3) EGP & JBe (Philp, 2010) | (1) TQ 5947 4073, abundant plants under light shade of mature Alder, in spring lines flushes issuing down gentle slope at top of Roundabout Wood, at junction of Tunbridge Wells Sand and Wadhurst Clay. (2) Roundabout Wood, Grosvenor and Hilbert Parks, where originally discovered by MPa. Present in three locations on a north-east facing slope in dappled shade of alder wood, especially where seepage (presumably from junction of Tunbridge Wells Sand Formation and Wadhurst Clay) keeps the wet peaty ground open from bramble encroachment, although the Equisetum also occupied marginal bramble areas. (a) TQ 59498 40724, c.220 stems spread over area at least 6x9m. Associated plants: Ranunculus repens, Carex remota, Cardamine sp., Solanum dulcamara, Hypericum androsaemum, Rubus fruticosus agg. (b) TQ59475 40741, many stems in area c. 9x18m. Associated plants: Mentha aquatica, Galium palustre, Fraxinus excelsior, Ranunculus repens, Carex remota, Rubus fruticosus agg., Ilex aquifolium, Alnus glutinosa, Dryopteris dilatata, Juncus effusus, Deschampsia cespitosa. Also, an outlier to this colony of eight stems on a path to the south west. (c) TQ59483 40763, 27 stems in small area just over 1x1m around open wet peaty/ferruginous flush. Associated plants: Rubus fruticosus agg., Hedera helix, Dryopteris dilatata, Fraxinus excelsior, Carex remota, Ilex aquifolium, Viburnum opulus, Alnus glutinosa. |

| | T | 1 | 1 | 1 | (0) 500 () (|
|----------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | | (3) EGP referred (pers. comm.) to |
| | | | | | the plant as being down a bank on |
| | | | | | the west side of a minor road, |
| | | | 16 10-01 6 | - | which must be a different site. |
| Lamberhurst | TQ63P | | After 1970, before | Philp (1982) | [Flushed slopes of Brooklands |
| Quarter | | | 1981 | | Wood searched without re-finding, |
| | | | | | SL, August 2016.] |
| East of | TQ63U | | After 1970, before | Philp (1982) | [as above.] |
| Lamberhurst | | | 1981 | | |
| Quarter | | | 4.1 | | |
| Pembury Hall | TQ6242 | | (1) 9 June 2014 | (1) PS | (1) TQ 62639 42555 ±6m, 10 plants |
| | | | (2) 16 May 2013 | (2) SB | on lower part of lane bank, west of |
| | | | (3) After 1990, | (3) EGP | Old Church Road, south-facing, but |
| | | | before 2006 | (Philp, 2010) | overshaded by holly and oak. Close |
| | | | | | to road surface and vulnerable to |
| | | | | | damage by lorries turning. |
| | | | | | Apparently well drained and not |
| | | | | | particularly damp, but may benefit |
| | | | | | from seepage from nearby springs |
| | | | | | in scrubby woodland. Betonica |
| | | | | | officinalis and Luzula forsteri |
| | | | | | associated, bank dominated by |
| | | | | | Melica uniflora. |
| | | | | | (2) 18 plants on roadside bank at |
| | | | | | TQ 62626 42570 at road junction |
| | | | | | opposite waterworks. Bank under |
| | | | | | oak woodland but fairly well lit and |
| | | | | | on spring line. Accompanying |
| | | | | | species Luzula pilosa, Melica |
| | | | | | uniflora, Carex flacca. |
| | | | | | (3) Recorded as TQ64G. |
| | | | | | Records in this area go back to |
| | | | | | 1845 ('Bog near Pembury Church' – |
| | | | | | Edward Jenner, Flora of Tunbridge |
| | | | | | Wells) and the location was |
| | | | | | variously described by FR as in |
| | | | | | damp woodland at road T-junction |
| | | | | | near Pembury Church and |
| | | | | | waterworks (1952 and opposite |
| | | | | | waterworks on spring line in oakwood on Tunbridge Wells Sand |
| | | | | | (1962). Cf. record at TQ 626 426, |
| | | | | | by A. Wilmot in September 1972. |
| Brenchley Wood | TQ6441 | KWT reserve | 25 April 1993 | SB | Known at least from the 1970s, and |
| Brenchiey Wood | 100441 | KWITESEIVE | 25 April 1995 | 36 | continuously from 1985 to 1993, c. |
| | | | | | TQ 648 419. Just a very small patch |
| | | | | | under Alder in a wet part of the |
| | | | | | wood which gradually dried out. |
| Brookland Wood | TQ6638 | SSSI | (1) 25 August 1987 | (1) JP | (1) TQ 661 388. |
| | | | (2) 15 August 1987 | (2) KFC | May be same as 1979 record by FR |
| | | | | meeting | for wood at Lamberhurst Quarter, but this is given as TQ 659 387 in |
| | | | | | his MS Flora. |
| | | | | | [Not found, SL, 2016.] |
| Knock Wood, | TQ8934 | | 1988 | JP | A flush on a north-facing slope, |
| Tenterden | | | | | which also supported Osmunda |
| | | | | | regalis, not re-found when |
| | | | | | subsequently re-visited by JP, |
| | | | | | although the site remained suitably |
| | | | | | wet and boggy. |
| Near Chartham | TR05Y | Part KWT | (1) 31 May 2014 | (1) BW | (1) TR0956 |
| | | | 1 , ,, | . , | 1 , , |

| Hatch | reserve | (2) 9 May 2011 | (2) LR | (2) TR 09724 56914 at Hunstead |
|-------|---------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | (3) After 1990, | (3) EGP | Wood. Most plants (100+shoots) |
| | | before 2006 | (Philp, 2010) | were outside the reserve in a ditch |
| | | | | bounding the wood and in the |
| | | | | border of adjoining ploughed |
| | | | | arable; only scattered plants in the |
| | | | | wood. |
| | | | | Formerly known from around a |
| | | | | woodland pond, but this seems |
| | | | | (2011) to have dried up and water |
| | | | | table changes may be affecting |
| | | | | residual woodland plants as well. |
| | | | | In 2013, however, the pond was |
| | | | | full, draining to the adjoining field |
| | | | | ditch. |
| | | | | Hunstead Wood has a long history |
| | | | | of records: FR saw it in 1956 by a |
| | | | | stream on the east side of the |
| | | | | wood and remarked that this was |
| | | | | probably the 1899 Flora of Kent |
| | | | | site. |
| | | | | (2) Denstead Wood (where known |
| | | | | at least back to 1957). |

Erica cinerea L. (Bell Heather)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Bell Heather is widespread in heathland throughout the British Isles, other than in central England, and its conservation status in Great Britain has been one of 'Least Concern'. However, in England there is some evidence of decline, and it is considered to be **Near Threatened**. A comparison of its area of occupancy in England over the periods 1930-1969 and 1987-1999 produced a calculated decline of 29% in the likelihood of recording the species. In Kent, it is neither rare nor scarce, albeit limited to west Kent and the Weald, but Philp (2010) shows a decline in tetrad records of 35% over those given in Philp (1982).



Account:

In Kent the first published record for *Erica cinerea* is that of Edward Jacob in his *Plantae Favershamienses* (1777) where he gives it as *'Upon* Charing Heath *–not common'*. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) noted the species as being one of dry sandy ground, frequent near London and on the lighter soils of the Weald, the comparative rarity of *Erica* spp. in Kent being evidently due to the great prevalence of chalk and clay, which they avoid. The reference to metropolitan Kent brings in the acid sandy/gravelly terrain of the north west Kent commons – Blackheath and Keston being mentioned as sites in Daniel Cooper's *Flora Metropolitana* (1836), and Paul's Cray Commons being added for 1904 in the *Woolwich Surveys* (1909).

Pembury Walks. Habitat photo of *Erica cinerea* against a background of *Calluna vulgaris*, by Sue Buckingham, August 2014

Philp (1982) regarded the species as rather local on dry sandy heaths and woodland rides, its distribution being shown as primarily on the Greensand and the Tunbridge Wells Sands, together with a presence at Dartford Heath (the metropolitan commons being outside the scope of that work). However, the follow-up 1991-2005 survey of Philp (2010) gave a reduction from 52 tetrads to 34, Bell Heather having apparently gone from some sites through loss of habitat. The result is a distribution which resembles a reduced version of that for *Calluna vulgaris* (Heather), given that the latter species was also present in all bar two of the 34 tetrads. Many of the same factors applicable to Heather (see separate account) apply to Bell Heather as well – the reliance on heathland, a habitat which has undergone a long decline in Kent before a degree of reversal, reflecting restoration work largely carried out since the 1991-2005 survey. However, the position of Heather and Bell Heather in Kent cannot be fully equated, because the recent 35% decline in Bell Heather tetrad records shows greater sensitivity to the causes of decline in Kent than Heather (11% over the same period). This may be a function of smaller populations of Bell Heather placing the species at greater risk. It is generally found together with Heather, but in smaller quantity and not growing in dense uniform stands as Heather may do, so that habitat changes are likely to render Bell Heather extinct at a site before the last Heather disappears as

well. Its relationship with Heather in Kent will be worth exploring: elsewhere, it has been noted as on occasion out-competed by Heather or alternatively growing as an understorey to Heather¹⁰.

Erica cinerea is a plant of well-drained, acid ground without accumulation of humus. Whilst typically favouring open habitats, in Kent it is found particularly in the context of coniferous forests, along paths and rides or

where trees have been cleared. This reflects the limited extent of heathland available in the county, as also the commercial use of sandy, infertile terrain. It appears never to have featured at Hothfield Common, but this may be a consequence of the wetness of much of the land, which better suits Erica tetralix (Cross-leaved Heath) and to which Calluna vulgaris is more tolerant. It has been suggested that distribution patterns of Bell Heather and Cross-leaved Heath may be affected by the former being more sensitive to the toxic effect of iron in the soil, increased by water-logging and Bell Heather's higher transpiration rate¹¹. Seed production is large – up to half a million seeds per square metre from a mature plant - and germination can be enhanced by heat treatment 12, which may be relevant to fires which occur from time to time at Dartford Heath.



Pembury Walks. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 16 August 2014

Erica cinerea may be distinguished from Calluna vulgaris by its larger flowers, and by the leaves spreading in whorls, not opposite/adpressed; it also flowers earlier (mostly July to early August). From the downy Erica tetralix it may be separated by virtue of being hairless. A variant (var. schizopetala) with the corolla split nearly to the base, and so appearing to have four petals, was collected by two botanists in 1897 at Crockham Hill Common¹³. This variant seems capable of reproducing by seed, as it did spontaneously in David McClintock's garden at Platt, from a plant of non-Kent origin.



Crockhamhill Common, habitat. Photo by Geoffrey Kitchener, 29 December 2014

As this species is (as yet) not uncommon in Kent, the distributional data maintained in this register will be at 1km square (monad) level. This will entail recording at a finer scale than the tetrads given in Philp (2010), from which the following 1991-2005 distribution map is taken (with kind permission of the late Eric Philp and the Kent Field Club). The distribution map for 2010-16 records shows broadly the pattern of spread which was recorded in 1991-

2005, but the occurrences are more scanty. There is an anomalous 2016 record of a patch on the landward side of the sea bank near Deal, which is assumed to be an introduction. The paucity of 2010-16 records (22


¹⁰ Bannister, P. (1965). *Erica cinerea* L. (Biological Flora of the British Isles). *Journal of Ecology* **53**: 527-542.

 $^{^{\}rm 11}$ Discussed, and sources given, in Webb, N. (1986). Heathlands.

Bannister P. (1965), cited above.

¹³ McClintock, D. (1980). Bell heathers with split corollas. *The Plantsman* **2**: 182-191.

tetrads, in comparison with 34 in Philp, 2010) probably reflects the limited period for survey and should not be taken as evidence of further decline as yet. It should be noted that the 1991-2005 map covers a smaller area for the county, lacking metropolitan vc16.



Erica cinerea (Bell Heather) 1991-2005

Erica tetralix L. (Cross-leaved Heath)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Bell Heather is widespread in mires and wet heaths throughout the British Isles, other than in central England, and its conservation status in Great Britain has been one of 'Least Concern'. However, in England there is some evidence of decline, and it is considered to be **Near Threatened**. A comparison of its area of occupancy in England over the periods 1930-1969 and 1987-1999 produced a calculated decline of 29% in the likelihood of recording the species – the same as that for *Erica cinerea* (Bell Heather). In Kent, it is (taking account of metropolitan vc16 records) on the verge of being scarce, and Philp (2010) shows a decline in tetrad records of 38% over those given in Philp (1982).



Account:

The first published Kent record for *Erica tetralix* is Edward Jacob's reference in his *Plantae Favershamienses* (1777) to the species being found, but not common, 'At the Bottom of Jud's Wood' (there

is still a Judd's Wood, south west of Ospringe, on the Thanet Formation, which could provide an acid sandy substrate). Hanbury and Marshall (1899) considered it to be local on moist heaths, preferring a somewhat loamy sand. They noted historic records on the north west Kent metropolitan commons – Keston, Blackheath and Chislehurst – and mentioned records in heaths elsewhere, such as Benenden Heath and Hothfield. However, it is possible that the species was not restricted to moist heaths, for they gave woodland records as well: Seal Chart and Chiddenden Wood (near Cranbrook), as well as Jacob's original record in Jud's Wood. The species develops best in the open, but is capable of withstanding shade of Scottish woodland with a daylight factor of 1/3 to $1/6^{15}$. On the other hand, it may that the woodland name has been assigned to a site which actually represented open ground there.

By the 1971-80 county survey (Philp, 1982), it was considered rather local and scarce in Kent because of the lack of suitable habitats (bog and wet heaths) and it was recorded in only 13 tetrads in the administrative county. That number reduced to eight tetrads by 1991-2005, although three of those tetrads represent sites where it was not recorded in 1971-80. It is not clear whether this is a consequence of the recording process, or whether there is a degree of coming and going of the plant with changes in habitat. However, the central issue for this species in the county is habitat availability. Heathland has long been in decline in Kent, with a slight recent reversal due to restoration work, as described in the account for *Calluna vulgaris* (Heather); but any reversal really needs to bring back wet heathland for *Erica tetralix*, in spite of some historic records for

¹⁴ Marshall was a little more forthright in the *Victoria History of the County of Kent* (1908), where he declared the species to be very rare except on the moist sands of three of the botanical districts making up the county.

¹⁵ Cited in P. Bannister (1966). Erica tetralix L. (Biological Flora of the British Isles). *Journal of Ecology* **54**: 795-813.

probably drier locations. *Erica tetralix* copes with much wetter conditions than either *Calluna vulgaris* or *Erica cinerea*, and is more tolerant of iron toxicity than the latter in these circumstances¹⁶; and accordingly in such habitats can avoid being out-competed by the other heaths. On the basis of Philp (1982), *Erica tetralix* is four times scarcer in the administrative county than *Erica cinerea* and 35 times scarcer than *Calluna vulgaris*. This must at least in part reflect the scarcity of wet heathland or bog in comparison with similar, but drier, ground.



Hothfield, habitat, amidst *Juncus* and *Carex* spp. Photo by David Steere, 2 July 2013

For records from 2010 onwards, the distributional data maintained in this register will be at 1km square (monad) level. This will entail recording at a finer scale than the tetrads given in Philp (2010), from which the following 1991-2005 distribution


map is taken (with kind permission of the late Eric Philp and the Kent Field Club). It should be noted that the 1991-2005 map covers a smaller area for the county, lacking metropolitan vc16. The distribution map for 2010-16 records shows finds at Keston, St Paul's Cray, Pembury Walks (in wet areas over *Sphagnum*), Angley and Brenchley Woods, Bedgebury and Hothfield. One would expect that further survey should add more in the Bedgebury and Hothfield areas, together perhaps with records from any wet open terrain at Joyden's

9 4 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7

Wood, Petts Wood, Whitley Forest and Hemsted Forest.

Erica tetralix (Cross-leaved Heath) 2010-16

Erica tetralix (Cross-leaved Heath)



Cross-leaved Heath is an evergreen perennial shrub, bushy if growing in isolation on drier ground, straggly with lower branches ramifying through moss and litter on wetter ground. Its leaves are set in whorls of (generally) four- hence both

English and Latin names – which distinguish it from Bell Heather (whorls of three) and from Heather (opposite leaves in rows). Its hairiness distinguishes it from Bell Heather, and also provides a greenish-grey foliage colour which enables it to be identified at a distance. The flowers are urn-shaped, distinct from those of Heather, and generally slightly larger than Bell Heather flowers.

_

 $^{^{\}rm 16}\,$ Discussed, and sources given, in Webb, N. (1986). Heathlands.

Eriophorum angustifolium Honck. (Common Cottongrass)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Common Cottongrass is indeed common over much of the British Isles, where there are suitable habitats, and the risks to this species are regarded in Great Britain as a whole as being of 'Least Concern'. In central and south east England, however, it is in long term+ decline and now largely absent. Accordingly, its English status is, through drainage and loss of traditional grazing management, **Vulnerable** to extinction. A comparison of its area of occupancy in England over the periods 1930-1969 and 1987-1999 produced a calculated decline of 33% in the likelihood of recording the species. Southern counties such as Hampshire and Surrey still possess enough acid bogs to supply habitat for this species, but there is very little suitable terrain in Kent, where it is **scarce**.

Account:

Hanbury and Marshall (1899) refer to a 1682 manuscript list in John Ray's *Catalogus Plantarum Angliae* then at the British Museum which gave this species as at Pett Bog, Chislehurst — this appears to be the first Kent record. Post-1682 records have been thinly but widely spread over the county, avoiding the chalk. *Eriophorum angustifolium* was considered to be rather scarce in the county by 1899 ("thinly distributed" was Marshall's comment in 1908).



Hothfield. Photo by Geoffrey Kitchener, 25 May 2010

In the 1940s and 1950s it was known to Francis Rose from Gibbin's Brook, from fen meadow east of Hacklinge and (surprisingly) from Swanscombe Wood. By the time of Philp (1982) the species was apparently gone from several former localities through land drainage or lowering of the water table. It was then (1971-80) only noted in two localities, Hothfield Common and Hawkenbury Bog. The latter site, however, was actually in vc14, East Sussex, and in any event afterwards lost its character through drying out. The subsequent survey of 1991-2005 (Philp, 2010), however, located Common Cottongrass in four tetrads. To these must be added its continued presence at Keston Bog, excluded from Philp (2010) because in metropolitan West Kent. These further locations include two tetrads at Dungeness which do not seem to represent an expansion of the plant, but rather its continuance, albeit not mentioned in Philp (1982). It was known at Dungeness to Hanbury before 1899 and collected at Denge Beach in 1934 (specimen at University of Birmingham herbarium). Francis Rose recorded it in fen conditions by the Dungeness Open Pits (1945-61).

Both Hothfield and Keston are old localities. The presence of Common Cottongrass at Hothfield was communicated to the authors of Hanbury and Marshall (1899) by Frederick Webb, who died in 1880. The species was also listed for Keston Mark or Common by Daniel Cooper in his *Flora Metropolitana* (1836), representing the results of excursions in 1833-35; it was still abundant in what was described by W.H. Griffin in 1906 as a boggy valley at the common, doubtless Keston Bog; and it still survives there.

Eriophorum angustifolium is nationally found in a range of acid communities, whether bogs or wet heaths, and its Kent localities are characterised by an acid peaty substrate, often with standing water, through which its

extensive rhizomes spread. Although the 'cotton' appears to be an adaptation for wind dispersal of seeds, spread generally seems to be vegetative. It has been maintained that the current British climate is unsuitable for the establishment of seedlings¹⁷, and on this basis expansion beyond existing Kent sites would seem unlikely. It is a distinctive plant when in flower or fruit, and other *Eriophorum* species are either not known in Kent or have not been seen for many years.







| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Keston Bog (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4164 | | (1) 6 August 2016 (2) 28 May 2011 (3) 13 June 2007 | (1) SL (2) OFC meeting (3) JP | (1) Keston Bog, small valley between TQ 4170 6423 and TQ 4171 6434. (2) TQ 417 643. (3) TQ 41715 64324, in middle marsh area of bog. |
| [Hawkenbury Bog] | [TQ43Y] | | [After 1970, before 1981] | [Philp (1982]) | Since gone from this location, which was in vc14, East Sussex. |
| Hothfield Common | TQ9645 | SSSI, KWT managed reserve | (1) 17 July 2016 (2) 8 August 2015 (3) 25 May 2010 (4) 1 June 2009 (5) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) DS (2) BW (2) GK (3) IR (4) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) Main bog. (2) TQ9645. (3) TQ9645, abundant across the whole width of (main) bog no.2, including in standing water; less common in bog no.1 to south. (3) TQ 969 453, bog no. 1; TQ 968 456, bog no.2; TQ 968 459, bog no. 3. (5) Recorded as TQ94S |
| Hothfield Common | TQ9646 | SSSI, KWT managed reserve | 1 June 2009 | IR | TQ 967 460, bog no. 4. |
| Dungeness | TR01T | SSSI | (1) 10 July 2016 (2) 2012 (3) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) KBRG/KFC meeting (2) BB & BF (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) Open Pit 4, acidic marsh on managed northern edge, Denge Beach, Dungeness, TR07281776. (2) TR0717. 3) Recorded as TR01T. In 1983, it was recorded as |

¹⁷ M.E. Phillips (1954), Biological Flora of the British Isles. *Eriophorum angustifolium* Roth. *Journal of Ecology* 42: 612-622.

_

| | | | | | occasional in marsh at the Open Pits, nos. 2 & 4 ¹⁸ , both in TR0717, but (comm. BB from unpublished English Nature survey data) it had gone from pit 2 by 2002, albeit then present in 4 & 8 (the latter in TR0718) in small unshaded areas. On re-survey in 2012, presence in pits 4 & 8 was recorded in additional transects following <i>Salix</i> clearance. |
|----------------|--------|------|---|--|--|
| Dungeness | TR01U | SSSI | (1) 15 June 2010 (2) 25 June 2005 (3) 13 May 2004 | (1) GK (2) PAk (3) EGP | (1) A few plants in wet area of pit no. 8, where <i>Salix</i> cleared, TR 072182. (2) TR 074 183. (3) Recorded as TR01U. |
| Gibbin's Brook | TR1138 | | (1) 30 June 2013 (2) 28 April 2011 (2) 18 June 2003 | (1) KBRG meeting (2) LS & AG (2) EGP & BW | (1) Same patch seen as found in 2011 thriving at TR 116 384, together with small secondary patch in vicinity. (2) Marshy grassland on peat with sphagnum moss. (3) Recorded as TR13E. |
| Ham Fen | TR3454 | | 24 July 1991 | FR | |

¹⁸ B. Ferry & A. Henderson (1984): The vegetation of natural freshwater pits at Dungeness – I: Higher plants. *Transactions of the Kent Field Club* 9: 143-153.

Erodium maritimum (L.) L'Hér. (Sea Stork's-bill)

Draft account

vc15

Rarity / scarcity status:

The core distributional range of Sea Stork's-bill in the British Isles is along the British west coast northwards to the Rhinns of Galloway and southwards to Cornwall, thence to Dorset with a few outliers further east. It is also on the east and south coasts of Ireland. There appear to be no conservation concerns, its risk status being one of 'Least Concern' both for England and for Great Britain as a whole. Kent is one of the eastern outliers, and so on the fringes of British distribution; the species is **rare** in the county.

Account:

Erodium maritimum was first recorded in Kent by Edward Jacobs in his *Plantae Favershamienses* (1777) as present "On the Sand Downs near Deal – not common". The likelihood is that this record was a contribution by William Boys, the historian of Sandwich. It was later listed by Lewis Dillwyn as "Found by my friend, Joseph Woods, jun. and myself on the walls of Sandgate Castle" in his Catalogue of the more rare Plants found in the Environs of Dover, with occasional Remarks presented to the Linnean Society in 1801 and appearing in their 1802 Transactions.



Dungeness. Photos by Lliam Rooney, 20 July 2010



After this, nothing was heard of the plant until recent years, although Hanbury and Marshall (1899) were hopeful that careful search at Deal might be successful. In 1996, however, it was rediscovered on sandy tracks on the Dungeness RSPB reserve (TR0618) and since then it has spread prolifically along paths in the reserve, being recorded in thousands, and from 2010 began to be observed in other locations. By 2012 it was also known not far from the original location, having been found in monads TR0619, TR0716 and TR0817, but additionally had been recorded further afield in a car park on secure MoD land at Lydd Ranges, TR0320.

Although the species is known in other parts of the British Isles from sea cliffs and sand dunes, the south east Kent plants inhabit low-lying compacted shingle or sand/shingle surfaces of car parking or paths where they grow with a prostrate, flattened habit. The very small size of this annual renders it susceptible to over-shading competition and little else grows with it in its trampled environment. It is probably self-pollinating and, without a need to attract pollinators, often lacks petals or drops them readily (see illustrations above).



Dungeness. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 20 August 2011

Although Sea Stork's-bill was native to Kent, it is likely that its appearance on the RSPB reserve is as a result of seed being brought inadvertently on footwear or car tyres of a birdwatcher from a coastal site in western Britain. Although normal dispersal for the seeds of *Erodium* spp. is by explosive release and self-burial, Sea Stork's-bill's spread along paths suggests that seeds are readily capable of being picked up with wet sand and gravel on footwear.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Lydd Ranges | TR0320 | MoD land | (1) 7 August 2012 (2) 8 August 2012 | (1) OL, GK, TI & SB (2) SB & TI | (1) At car park, Lydd Camp. (2) Many plants at edge of road by MOD car park TR03477 20013. |
| Dungeness | TR0617 | | 10 August 2013 | TI | |
| Dungeness RSPB reserve | TR0618 | RSPB reserve | (1) 23 June 2010 (2) 3 June 2010 (3) 16 November 2007 (4) 29 April 1999 | (1) SB (2) DG (3) RG (4) EGP | This monad has been the subject of records by many recorders since 1996 to (at least) 2012, and a selection is given here. (1) TR 067 181 to TR 067 184, thousands of plants. (2) TR 067 184, in car park and on paths throughout the reserve. (3) TR 065 185, TR 066 184, TR 067 184. (4) Location given as TR01U. |
| Dungeness | TR0619 | | (1) June 2014 (2) 18 April 2011 (3) 15 June 2010 | (1) FJR (2) SB (3) GK | (1) TR 0628 1944, TR 0625 1962, TR 0625 1963, TR 0624 1962, TR 0623 1960, TR 0622 1960. (2) On shingle at TR 06420 19490. (3) ARC site |
| West of Lade | TR0620 | | (1) June 2014 (2) 30 June 2012 | (1) FJR (2) TI | (1) TR 06840 20140, c.10 plants, and TR 06796 20168. |
| Near Dungeness power station | TR0716 | | (1) 22 June 2014 (2) 20 August 2011 | (1) BW (2) SB | (2) TR 07754 16647, abundant on sand and shingle on both sides of concrete road westwards for 600 metres. |
| Dungeness power station | TR0717 | | 22 June 2014 | KBRG meeting | Growing abundantly on the sandy margins of the power station car park. |
| West of Lade | TR0720 | | 15 June 2013 | TI | |
| Dungeness | TR0817 | | (1) 4 June 2011 (2) 14 May 2005 | (1) TI (2) JS | (1) TR 081 177. (2) TR 08525 17266, 109 plants confined to small area (1 sq metre) by Bird Observatory. |

Erophila glabrescens Jord. (Glabrous Whitlowgrass)

Draft account. Habitat photo needed.

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Erophila glabrescens is a plant whose distribution is known imperfectly, because it is not always easy to distinguish from Erophila verna (Common Whitlowgrass). However, it appears to be distributed widely, but perhaps patchily, in the lowlands of the British Isles, and presents no particular conservation concerns for England and Great Britain as a whole. In Kent, it has only been identified on a few occasions and with increasing knowledge is being assessed as no longer rare, but instead scarce. It is possible that it may eventually be found not to warrant rare plant register status.

Account:

The earliest record identified so far is a specimen at Kew Herbarium from 1893, gathered at Oldwall (presumably Old Walls, Church Road), Hythe and determined by Tim Rich. There are also specimens similarly determined and which were collected by H.E. Fox from Walmer-Deal (1915, National Museum of Wales Herbarium), and Kingsdown-Deal (1916, Oxford University Herbarium); by J.E. Lousley from Hythe Rifle Range (1927, Reading University Herbarium); and there is a specimen from Chislehurst Common in the Natural History Museum.

Although *Erophila glabrescens* was first named in 1852, it was not until 1987 that its recognition as a species became the norm in British Botany, through inclusion in the *Flora of the British Isles* by A.R. Clapham, T.G. Tutin and D.M. Moore. In consequence, all Kent *Erophila* records in Philp (1982) were treated as *Erophila verna*. The split of this taxon so as to recognize *Erophila glabrescens* as separate was reflected in the Kent survey of 1991-2005, published as Philp (2010). There, Eric Philp stated that he had taken great care over the identification of *Erophila*, but many specimens seemed to vary on the same plant as to stem hairiness or petal shape, characters used to distinguish between *Erophila verna* and *Erophila glabrescens*. He assigned any doubtful plants to *Erophila verna* and was left with only three tetrad records for *Erophila glabrescens*. These





Hockley. Photo by Lliam Rooney, March 2011

Other Kent recorders have also experienced difficulty with this taxon. It does not seem to have habitat preferences distinct from those of *Erophila verna*; both species can be found together; and frequent sampling from Whitlowgrass colonies can be a wearisome activity. The species are keyed apart on the basis that *Erophila verna* has petioles

as long as the leaf blades (or less, down to half as long); petals are bifid from half to three quarters to the base; and the plant is usually hairy. *Erophila glabrescens* has petioles from one and a half to two and a half times as long as the leaf blades and is usually sub-glabrous. It is, however, often not easy to identify the boundary between petiole and leaf for measurement purposes. Also, "usually hairy" and "usually sub-glabrous" imply that there is potential for overlap of hairiness; and in any event, the density of pubescence changes with development and hairs tend to be lost with age. There are therefore risks of mis-determination of plants other than those which have petals clearly divided less than half-way, and scapes which are glabrous or virtually so.



What we are able to say about the Kent status of *Erophila glabrescens* so far, however, is that historically this small, early-flowering annual was present on the sandy / shingly east coast, and there have been recent finds which demonstrate some continuity; its inland records on roadsides and the like do not show any particular pattern, but there has been a degree of persistence at its Teston site; and that the preponderance of records is in East Kent, with some West Kent records being close to the vice county border.

Erophila glabrescens from Littlestone; Erophila verna from Teston. Photos by Lorna Holland, 2011

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Wateringbury - Teston | TQ6953 | | (1) 6 March 2011 (2)15 May 2010 | L&DH | (1) TQ 69707 5391, prolific on kerbside by A26. (2) TQ 69714 53394, A26, verge in front of 64, Tonbridge Road. Later flowering than most <i>Erophila</i> . |
| Teston | TQ7053 TQ75B | | (1) 6 March 2011 (2) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) L&DH (2)EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) TQ 70175 53466, TQ 70175 53466, reappeared on turf-soil after being weed -killed last year. (2) Recorded as TQ75B. |
| Cliffe | TQ7477 | | 30 March 2012 | SA | TQ 74193 77668. Petals split to half length and petioles appreciably longer than leaf blades, especially in lower leaves. However, within the same patch were some plants not well fitting either E. verna or E. glabrescens. |
| Eccles | TQ76A | | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Wouldham | TQ76C | | May 1987 | EGP | Ministry of Defence land. |
| Hockley, near Throwley | TQ9855 | | 11 March 2011 | LR | TQ 98074 55511, Hockley, near Throwley. On Stalisfield Road, just before it reaches Church Road and Kettle Hill Road. By large stones on imported gravel/shingle by the road side. |
| Dungeness –Old Coastguard Cotts | TR0817 | | 15 May 2010 | TI | |
| Dungeness – Long Pits | TR0818 | | 2011 | TI | |
| | TR0826 | | 9 March 2011 | L&DH | TR 08895 26770 and TR 08774 26368. The plants were minute but abundant all over the dunes, too early for any seeds. |
| Dungeness | TR0917 | | 2011 | TI | |
| Greatstone | TR02W | | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Canterbury | TR1457 | | 14 January 2014 | EB | Several plants at Rosemary Lane car park. |

Eryngium campestre L. (Field Eryngo or Watling Street Thistle)

Draft account

Vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Field Eryngo is a nationally rare plant, mostly present in south west England, which has been assessed for Great Britain as being **Critically Endangered**, i.e. incurring severe risk of becoming extinct in the wild. The English assessment, however, is that it is **Near Threatened**, based on a low number of sites (but not low enough to qualify for a higher risk level) and continuing decline since 1930. This latter assessment did not identify a sufficient decline in area of occupancy or extent of occurrence to fulfil criteria which gave rise to the Great British assessment, although to all intents the same populations were being considered. It is treated as a UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority species, for which the planned action includes identifying sites at which sustainable management regimes can be implemented and securing the open ground, low-competition, low nutrient conditions favoured by this taxon. There are only three locations for this species in Kent, and so it is **rare**.

Account:

The origins of *Eryngium campestre* in Kent are somewhat mysterious, given that one would expect such a distinctive plant to have been noticed early, at least if it were flourishing. However, the first publication of this species for Kent is as late as H.C. Watson's *Topographical Botany* (1873), which simply refers to F.J. Hanbury. This must relate to Hanbury's find described in Hanbury and Marshall (1899) as previously being "On the Warren between Romney and Dymchurch, near the targets, some way from the sea; growing in sand, associated with *Ammophila arundinacea*". Although still present in 1880, it could not be found in 1892,

following alterations to the ground. Hanbury and Marshall, discounting an unconfirmed record at Cobham, were obliged to consider the species not just very rare in Kent, but apparently lost. They pointed out – as have other botanists since – that it is plentiful about Calais, and there was no reason to doubt it having been native at Romney.

Darenth. Photo by Mervyn Brown, 24 August 2011

Taking Britain as a whole, populations at Devon have been hailed as native, and most other populations considered likely to have been introduced; but currently the species is regarded as an archaeophyte, or ancient introduction, in Britain, both as regards Devon and all other colonies. This status is probably



not a fully resolved issue and is considered further at the end of this account.

Since its disappearance from Romney, *Eryngium campestre* has been seen at four sites in Kent, only three of which are known still to survive. All are, or were, related to the chalk of the North Downs, including its dip slope towards the Thames. The lost site was, according to Francis Rose, towards the top of the North Downs, one mile north of Wrotham (TQ605597, per R.A. Graham). It was seen up to 1947 at least from 1922 ("a good patch on the open chalk down", "in July 1930...a nearly circular patch of about five yards in diameter", G.C.

Druce). J.E. Lousley commented in 1934 that it was looking native. Although long unrecorded, it was searched for here in 2012, but without success.

The other sites are also on chalk grassland. It was found at Darenth by H.M. Pratt in the grounds of Darenth Park Hospital, an institution for learning disabilities, where he then lived. One source gives 1950 for this discovery, but from Mr Pratt's papers at Dartford Library, it was on 8 July 1968 and was found on chalk ground disturbed after the Lower Southern Hospital was demolished, adjacent to the entrance road where a gas main lay, and originally extending over an area of no more than two square metres. The seedbank for this area has provided evidence of an arable past. It seems likely that the immediate disturbance was the bulldozing of the area, with rubble being heaped not far from the plant's site, together with the removal of a boundary fence, whose concrete anchoring currently adjoins the *Eryngium*.

The number of plants (or more probably, ramets from the same clone) has varied over the years, and their centre has migrated about a metre southwards. The rhizomes are very deep and occur within the undisturbed chalk rendzina, which is overlain by about 20cm of tertiary deposits – medium particle sized sand with a little clay. The patch was circular, at least from the 1970s, but limited to the north by a tarmacadam road, so that in the course of management of its surroundings by Mervyn Brown on behalf of the health authorities from 1979 to 1988, when the hospital closed, the patch expanded away from the road to cover 15 to 20 square metres, producing at maximum five flowering plants per annum. This management continued until the site was taken



over by Dartford Borough Council and developed, as a National Millenium project so as to become a country park. In the course of this development, the tarmacadam road was removed, with serious consequences for the *Eryngium*. It appears that the road had deterred rabbits approaching from the north and provided a water reservoir underneath for dry years, accessible to the deep roots of the *Eryngium*, as well as warming the soil (because of the black surface), which is beneficial to the species' successful growth. The removal led to an explosion in vegetation and a vast increase in rabbit numbers around the plants. The rabbits dug for the roots, ate young leaves and severed mature leaves, eating only a small part of the petiole.

Darenth. Leaf severed by rabbit grazing. Photo by Mervyn Brown, 1990s

Darenth, habitat. Photo by Geoffrey Kitchener, May 2012

From 1997, numbers of plants declined until in 2009, when Mervyn Brown revisited, scrub levels were found to be too high and the *Eryngium* could not be seen. He arranged a joint inspection by Natural England, when a single juvenile leaf of about 12 square cm was located, at some distance from the previous centre of clonal strength. He drew up a recovery plan, adopted by Dartford Borough Council and approved by Natural England. Scrub clearance was undertaken and open wire fencing installed which is intended to deter rabbits by virtue of rendering them easier prey for foxes. After four kills by foxes,



rabbits have rarely entered the area and seem to have learnt to avoid it. By 2012, the *Eryngium* had been restored to a state in which ramets were visible over an area of 8 to 12 square metres within a developing favourable grass composition of *Festuca rubra* (Red Fescue) and *Agrostis stolonifera* (Creeping Bent). This

restored state (about 250 ramets by 2015) largely represents growth from the remains of rhizomes whose growth had been suppressed by scrub and rabbits: only four new ramets had been detected and several weaker ramets had failed.

The Princes Park colony was discovered by Martin Hall, at the beginning of the 1990s, on grassy banks (predominately *Bromopsis erectus*, Upright Brome) on chalk, maintained as public open space by Medway Unitary Authority. Its appearance followed the construction of a footpath cut into the slope using earth moving machinery. Three plants occurred in two separate locations some 10 metres apart, flowering in some years but not in others. The plant most remote from the path has since disappeared at least from above ground and was last seen in the late 1990s. The remainder also disappeared shortly after 2000, in the absence of specific management measures. A single flowering plant was discovered in 2009 by Mervyn Brown with Simon Bellinger, who was the ranger for the site at that time. The site had been affected by a grass fire the previous year, recreating a ruderal site. Careful searching of the nearby grassland unaffected by the fire revealed continued presence of the species, dormant under the vegetation. Management was initiated and the clone

improved, although erratically in some parts. It is mown where the clone impinges on the path and the pressures of public access generated by the large adjacent housing estate cause some problems, although the species (at least from its French habitats) appears tolerant of a degree of disturbance.

Princes Park, habitat. Photo by Mervyn Brown, 24 August 2011

Bredhurst, habitat. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 2013

The occurrence of *Eryngium campestre* at Bredhurst has been written up in 1984 by Joyce Pitt. ¹⁹, who observed the colony in most years since the early 1970s, following its discovery by Nora Miller in 1969. She described it as located on the south-facing slope of a dry chalk grassland valley, where the steep slope begins to flatten out at the crest of the down. The chalk is nodular and so admits root penetration. Plant numbers then generally fluctuated between 10 and 30, although 50 were counted in 1983. Flowering was only occasional, not least because it was usually cut down during haymaking.



The general area was one of chalk grassland flora, including *Poterium sanguisorba* (Salad Burnet), *Plantago media* (Hoary Plantain) and *Primula veris* (Cowslip), but stated to have been affected by 'improvement' in the 1960s. This raises the possibility of introduction if the location had been re-seeded with a contaminated grass-seed mixture of continental origin, as may have happened²⁰ as an origin of Cornish populations. Joyce Pitt

¹⁹ J. Pitt. Habitat notes on Watling Street Thistle (Eryngium campestre) near Bredhurst, Kent. *Bulletin of the Kent Field Club* (1984) 29: 30-31.

According to D. Junghanns and M.J. Wiggington in their account, *Eryngium campestre* L. (Apiaceae), in (ed. M.J. Wiggington) *British Red Data Books 1 Vascular Plants* (1999), JNCC. This view was based on the plant having been found after pasture was re-seeded, rather than on any separate evidence that *Eryngium campestre* is known as a grass seed contaminant. The late maturation of *Eryngium campestre* seed in comparison with that of grasses militates against it being a normal contaminant.

noted that the area where the plant grows was then somewhat different, being disturbed and broken, partly due to rabbits, but also perhaps due to agricultural use, whether by animals or machinery. At some stage in the 1980s it appears that the field was ploughed about 8 inches deep and drilled for a crop of barley, with the intention of again laying to grass afterwards; and the plant survived this disturbance. It also seems possible to interpret the field slope as showing more signs of an arable past in the upper parts, where the Eryngium grows, than the lower parts, which carry a chalk flora more consistent with grazing.

The current Kent colonies each comprise a coherent patch (Darenth is semi-circular, Princes Park is selectively radial and Bredhurst is circular) consisting of what appear to be separate, but associated plants which do not recruit from seedlings. Indeed, they seldom flower. Mervyn Brown, who has long studied the Kent populations, gave data for their recent size and flowering as follows:


- Darenth: 90 to 100 plants with 4 flowered in 2011; 80 to 100 plants with none flowered in 2012
- Princes Park: 120 to 150 plants with 1 flowered in 2011; 140 to 150 plants with none flowered in 2012
- Bredhurst: c.500 plants with 55 flowered in 2011; over 700 plants with 20 flowered in 2012.

It is reasonable to conclude that each of these colonies represents a single clone, where the associated individuals are ramets, deriving from vegetative spread and perhaps continuing plant growth which might otherwise cease with senescence of upper roots. The average clonal spread, in the absence of checks, appears to be 8 to 10 cm per annum, from Mervyn Brown's observations. He considered that the plant expands radially, but seemingly may cease to expand in a direction where a problem is encountered or otherwise may expand away from unsuitable areas. It could be, however, that the apparent cessation of expansion is represented by unseen underground growth, which may appear later at some distance from the nearest

ramet. The management implications are for surrounding terrain to be maintained in a suitable condition for clonal expansion. Discouragement of coarse grasses assists in this and also reduces habitat which capable of harbouring slugs and snails which might otherwise graze on early young shoots, so retarding growth.

Bredhurst. Juvenile leaf of ramet, beginning to show first insection. Flowering does not take place before five insections have developed on

each side of the leaf, reaching the central vein. Photo by Mervyn Brown, 21 April 2011



The different wild plants demonstrate differing relative vigour. This relative vigour has been assessed by Mervyn Brown (for 2011) so that the Darenth and Princes Park colonies may be regarded as exhibiting 4% and 10% respectively of the vigour shown by the Bredhurst colony. Vigour is taken as a function of healthy rootstock. It may be demonstrated by factors such as the extent of flowering, the number of heads per flowering stalk and the diameter at the base of the stem, but is measured by the total leaf area index (relating total leaf area to the ground area occupied) of a colony at the beginning of July. The relative vigour for 2015 was taken to be for Darenth and Princes Park 15% and 5% in relation to the 100% of Bredhurst, so the Darenth

colony appears still to be improving, both relative to Bredhurst and in absolute terms. Its spread as at 2015 was in excess of 20 metre squared and the leaf area index was about 0.1 (ranging from 0.4 to less than 0.01); the total leaf area was 2 metre squared with 9 flowering plants and an estimated ramet count of 250. For a healthy colony, land management is considered to be the overriding factor with climate as secondary; predation and competition appear to be the two main negative factors.

It is possible that there is a genetic difference between East and West Kent plants. The shapes of leaf and capitule of the Darenth colony differ from those of the Bredhurst and Princes Park colonies, Darenth resembling some plants from Spain and southern France, Bredhurst and Princes Park resembling continental mainland plants nearer the English Channel. In considering differences in vigour, however, there is also the recent history of the colonies' relationship with their individual environments to be taken into account, in so far as this is understood.

It appears that the species responds to a degree of disturbance²¹, which may be associated with an appropriate (but not excessive) grazing or simulated grazing regime, without which a colony will decline, the plants becoming dormant. Failing vigour, tending to dormancy, is shown by the petiole length declining for a colony, year on year, until any grass cover swamps the plant. This dormancy has been observed at all three sites in Kent at different times. It seems to entail the decay of the upper root system through attack by pathogenic fungi. If this decay is sufficiently extensive, it may be that dormancy could only be broken by disruption to the root system, e.g. removal of topsoil or the slicing of deeper roots. The sites at Darenth and Princes Park are recovering from dormancy and near-extinction around 2009, and as yet remain fragile. However, appropriate management is strengthening both clones, although it is estimated that five to ten years are required for recovery of a colony weakening towards extinction, so that the rootstock has developed sufficient resistance to fungal attack. The site at Bredhurst, although sometimes erratic in performance, is increasing in strength with the current management by the site owner.

Although the species is currently regarded as an archaeophyte (brought to Britain by man, intentionally or unintentionally) and naturalized between the start of the Neolithic period (c. 4000 BC) and AD 1500), this is not a status readily capable of proof. The absence of British fossil record is perhaps a pointer, but by no means conclusive, especially as the pollen of Field Eryngo is viscid, rather than wind-borne, and so less likely to be deposited widely. If it were to be treated as native in Britain (which was generally the norm until 1985²²), then Kent has the best geographic credentials, Francis Rose stating (c. 1980) that it is "very common on sand dunes...west of Calais, and on the chalk inland from Cap Nez Blanc, so probably native in Kent, it is only surprising that it is so rare with us"²³.

The strength of the case for regarding Kent as a native area, as part of a distribution which includes the European mainland, appears somewhat weakened by the lack of ancient record and the reluctance of plants to reproduce by seed. (Seed spread would need to be identified by the presence of cotyledons on seedlings, which would otherwise closely resemble small plants emerging from shoots from the deep rhizomes.) However, if each occurrence has been an introduction, there does not seem to be a single explanation which

The accounts of each Kent colony given above include various disturbance events, not all of which have been by any means destructive to the plants, which may be rejuvenated by ruderalization. Re-sprouting after cutting back is recognized more widely. E. Masson Phillips reported this in relation to a colony at Plymouth cut back to the roots in 1935, but growing as strongly as before in 1936 (*BEC Report for 1936*, p.257).

Status was re-assessed by D.A. Webb (1985), What are the criteria for presuming native status? *Watsonia* 15: 231-236. This account, however, does not adduce any new evidence.

²³ Unpublished remarks, quoted by Rosemary FitzGerald (1987) <u>Eryngium Campestre</u> L. Field Eryngo (The 'Watling Street Thistle'), unpublished NCC report.

covers all of these. Any suggestion that they have come from travellers from northern France is perhaps unduly influenced by the name Watling Street Thistle (which derives from a Northamptonshire occurrence, rather than the road in Kent); and the known Kent sites, other than at Darenth, are not all particularly near the historic Watling Street route. Whilst the possibility is mentioned above of re-seeding having introduced the Bredhurst clone, it would have been entirely possible for its existence to have preceded this event and for the clone, being deep-rooted, to have survived the associated ploughing as it did in the 1980s. The Darenth clone has been associated with ground disturbed by works, but it cannot necessarily be inferred that it was introduced as part of the disturbance. Similarly, the Princes Park clone came to light following footpath works. So all three current clones have a common background of site disturbance and, given the absence of any known seed-bank and the reluctance of the species to germinate seed in 'wild' Kent conditions, it could be maintained that their discovery was a consequence of the revival of dormant clones through disturbance, rather than any recent introduction.

Mervyn Brown s postulated that the Kentish relationship with the species' European distribution is an ancient one, which precedes the discontinuance of the land bridge between Britain and continental Europe c. 6500 BC; and that some or all of the Kent occurrences could represent continuous clonal growth from before then. Clonal growth in other species has of course been recognised as feasible over tens of thousands of years; and survival need be no more surprising than the persistence in the Kent Weald of *Trichomanes speciosum* (Killarney Fern) gametophytes (discovered in 2016) since an era of very different climate conditions. The reluctance of the Kent plants to set seed in the wild would then not necessarily be an impediment to inferring native status, but could be a reflection of the suitability of their locations on original establishment having declined since that establishment. This may perhaps be due to climate changes over this lengthy period, although established plants are still capable of sustaining growth both in the wild and in garden conditions ²⁴. However, this hypothesis does rely upon habitat remaining suitable for survival over a very long period, against a background of relatively recent losses and near failures, and it would appear that survival will have been something of a matter of chance. The status of *Eryngium campestre* accordingly remains intriguing.

The following records represent a series of sightings by various botanists since 1970, but only include a sample of observations by Mervyn Brown, others of which have gone towards the summaries of clonal development set out above.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Darenth | TQ5672 | Darenth | (1) 23 April 2015 | (1) MB | (1) 240 ramets, some emerging |
| | | Country | (2) 29 May 2012 | (2) GK | from an area where root presence |
| | | Park, | (3) 1992 | (3) MB | was weak. |
| | | managed by | (4) 21 Aug 1985 | (4) RF | (2) Patch 5m x 2m (MB assesses as |
| | | Dartford | (5) 1973 | (5) EGP | c. 5m x 3m) from TQ 56793 72491 |
| | | Borough | | | to TQ 56898 72493, chalky ground |
| | | Council | | | (possibly disturbed by former uses |
| | | | | | of the site) maintained open. No |
| | | | | | sign of potential flowering. |

-

²⁴ Germination may be supposed to have been favoured by a climate with warmer springs than at present. In relation to the current Kent sites, it would also have been assisted by damper springtime conditions, with increased water flow through the (now) dry valleys on the chalk downs. At present, Bredhurst is the only site with any damp indicators, the presence of *Ajuga reptans* (Bugle) being suggestive. The importance of high water potential for satisfactory germination of *E. campestre* is noted, in a Spanish context, by E. Bochet et al. (2007), Soil water availability effects on seed germination account for species segregation in semiarid roadslopes, *Plant Soil* 295: 179-191. From this paper, it appears that *E. campestre* is also slow to germinate and this slowness increases with decreasing water availability. This would be compatible with a plant strategy which allocates resources to energy storage at the cost of seed production, seedling survival and growth rates; the payback for which is the ability to re-sprout as an established adult, resisting soil surface aridity and persisting continuously after disturbance.

| | | | | | (3) TQ 568 724. Just one ramet flowered this year and a small collection made for the Millennium Seed Bank. (4) TQ 568 724. At least 30 ramets in rough grass in clearing between thorn bushes at Darenth Hospital. Ground previously disturbed, but chalk grassland flora reestablishing. (5) TQ 568 724. Plenty at Darenth Hospital but not in flower. |
|---------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Princes Park, | TQ7665 | Public open | (1) 17 August 2011 | (1) SP & DG | (1) TQ 76835 65221, Princes Park, |
| Chatham | | space | (2) 1993 | (2) SP, DC & | on banks, beside footpath, spread |
| | | managed by | | EGP | over 2 sq metres. |
| Bredhurst | TQ8061 | Medway UA CROW | (1) 2 July 2015 | (1) DS | (2) Recorded as TQ76S. (1) TQ 80578 61890, half square |
| Brediurst | 10001 | access land | (2) 28 May 2012 | (1) D3 (2) SL | metre patch, hundreds of flower |
| | | 455555 14.14 | (3) 8 May 2011 | (3) KFC | heads but only two flowering. |
| | | | (4) 1985 | meeting | (2) Top of the field edge at TQ |
| | | | (5) 15 November | (4)RF | 80565 61894, appearing to be a |
| | | | 1975 | (5) GPS | large clone, comprising small interconnected patches of plants, |
| | | | | | spread thinly over an area of about |
| | | | | | 6m x 6m, where they co-exist with other species without dominating. |
| | | | | | (3) TQ 805 618, Patch about 3 |
| | | | | | metres in diameter along top edge |
| | | | | | of field. (But measured as 6 metres |
| | | | | | in diameter that year by MB, who |
| | | | | | considered that the colony had |
| | | | | | benefited from grass suppression |
| | | | | | through off-road motor cycling.) |
| | | | | | (4) TQ 805 618 |
| | | | | | (5) A good patch growing in an old meadow. |

Eryngium maritimum L. (Sea-holly)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Sea-holly grew widely around the coasts of the British Isles and, although it has largely gone from north and east Scotland as well as north east England, its conservation status in Great Britain as a whole has been one of 'Least Concern'. However, in England there is some evidence of decline such that it is considered to be **Near Threatened**. This risk assessment is based on a reduction both in the overall geographical extent of its occurrence and in the area of occupancy within that range. A comparison over the periods 1930-1969 and 1987-1999 showed that its overall range had reduced by 28% and its area of occupancy had declined so that there was a 30% reduction in the likelihood of recording the species. In Kent, it is neither rare nor particularly

scarce, but appears to be countering the wider trend by increasing its range by 67% between 1971-80 and 1991-2005.

Sandwich Bay, in light grassland. Photo by David Steere, 11 July 2013

Account:

Sea-holly was first recorded in Kent by John Gerard in his *Herball* (1597), who states that *'Eryngium marinum* growth by the sea side upon the baich and stonie ground; I found it growing plentifully at Whitstable in Kent' (where it is still present, although not plentiful). There is a good range of historic



records, ranging from Grain (by B.D. Jackson, before 1899); Sheppey (by Thomas Johnson, 1629, at Queenborough, where the Mayor summoned Johnson and his party to account for their presence); along the north coast, e.g. between Graveney Wall Sluice and Seasalter, where seen by the Rev. John Bateman (d.1700) and at Westgate Bay where recorded by Thomas Johnson in 1632; and around Thanet where, on the beach at Margate, the Rev. Robert Hunter listed it in a catalogue of plants in his *Short Description of the Isle of Thanet*



(1796). Further round the east coast, its presence was published by G. E. Smith in 1829 as among sand by the roadside between Pegwell and Sandwich, and also on the shore at Dymchurch; and at least one of the joint authors of Hanbury and Marshall (1899) saw it at New Romney — their assessment of its county status was 'frequent'.

Reculver, on shingle. Photo by David Steere, 1 August 2015

Philp (1982), however, regarded *Eryngium maritimum* as growing in good quantity only in the Sandwich Bay area and on the north beach at Grain. Elsewhere (west Sheppey, Graveney, Ramsgate, Greatstone) it was only really just hanging on, and the total number of tetrads within which it was recorded during 1971-80 was 12. However, this total had increased to 20 by 1991-2005, and Philp (2010) commented that the species appeared

to be doing well and was more widespread and numerous than ever recorded before. The reasons for this are unclear, but it appears to be a continuing trend. The 1991-2005 distributional data are given in the following map from Philp (2010), with kind permission of the late Eric Philp and the Kent Field Club. Data acquired from 2010 onwards has been recorded at 1km square (monad) level, i.e. at a finer scale than the tetrads given in Philp (2010). The 2010-16 distribution map shows 35 monad records, which are the equivalent of 24 tetrads, with fresh sites along the north coast from Herne Bay to eastern Thanet, and one might expect more records to follow, given the brevity of the recording period. It is unlikely that the trend is an artificial product of recording sampling. *Eryngium maritimum* has probably always been well recorded, as a conspicuous plant in a generally accessible habitat.


Sandwich Bay. Photo by David Steere, 11 July 2013

In Kent, Sea-holly is found only as a coastal plant. It has been noted on sand dunes, sandy beaches, shingle, grassland/shingle and a few plants have been seen on the concrete apron of the former hoverport at Pegwell Bay. A number of sightings are of the odd plant or two, which may suggest incipient colonisation. There remain large populations at Grain (an area of 350 x 30m sandy beach was noted in 2010 as being almost completely covered) and Sandwich, where it has long been abundant on the fixed dunes between the golf course and beach. In this latter location, it often grows in close association with



broomrape, which led to it being mentioned in Philp (1982) as host to *Orobanche amethystea* (Sea-holly Broomrape), regarded as new to Britain here. The status of this broomrape population was discussed by Rumsey & Jury (1991)²⁵, who considered that some plants approached *O. amethystea* in some respects, but that there was a full range of intermediates through to typical *Orobanche minor* (Common Broomrape) and the population as a whole consisted of plants parasitic on a wide range of species, not just Sea-holly. Accordingly, the population is now generally referred to *O. minor* var. *minor*.

Eryngium maritimum is a perennial with a strong tap-root, enabling penetration and anchoring in its sand or shingle substrate. The seeds are capable of spread by water, and this may be the origin of the occasional odd plant found just above the strandline. Further spread may be achieved by the plant acting as a tumbleweed in autumn/winter.



²⁵ Rumsey, F.J. & Jury, S.L. (1991). An account of *Orobanche* L. in Britain and Ireland. *Watsonia* **18**: 257-295.

Erysimum cheiranthoides L. (Treacle-mustard)

Draft account.

vc 15and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Erysimum cheiranthoides is an archaeophyte, or ancient introduction, scattered across the British Isles, but mostly in southern and central England. Despite a degree of general decline, its conservation status in Great Britain has been one of 'Least Concern'. However, in England there is some evidence of decline, and it is considered to be **Near Threatened**. A comparison of its area of occupancy in England over the periods 1930-1969 and 1987-1999 produced a calculated decline of 22% in the likelihood of recording the species. In Kent, the decline has been much more dramatic, with tetrad records falling by 73% between the surveys of 1971-1980 and 1991-2005. Whilst the species is not necessarily scarce in Kent — this remains to be tested with current data — if the county decline were replicated in national terms, this decline would have resulted in a much higher risk rating.

Account:

The first Kent record for Treacle-mustard is given by Hanbury and Marshall (1899) as at Gravesend, and is said to have been mentioned by Robert Pocock in p.217 of his *Natural History of Kent* (1809). No such publication was ever issued, however, and the reference in N.D. Simpson's *Bibliographical Index of the British Flora* (1960) to a manuscript of that date is noted as something which had not been checked. It would appear, however, that there has been some confusion and the page reference actually belongs to a publication by George Arnold²⁶. At p.217 of Arnold's work, there is an account of a botanical manuscript by Pocock dated 1821 which includes a list of rare plants found in the vicinity of Gravesend, concluding on p.219 with mention of *Erysimum*

cheiranthoides 'In Mr. Pete's²⁷ garden, November 5th, 1824' (sic). The date is presumably accounted for by an addition to the 1821 manuscript (the original of which is held by Gravesend Library); and the garden is probably that of Dr. William Peete, a botanist of Dartford, which raises the possibility that, even if the plant was growing 'wild' there, it may have been introduced by Dr. Peete for botanical interest.

Oxney. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 29 August 2014

In view of the ambiguity of the first record's status, it may be worth mentioning the next record — members from the Botanical Society of London in 1839, 'about the middle of the Sandy Hill leading from Woolwich to Plumstead Common... found in the hedge-banks on the left a small plot of *Erysimum Cheiranthoides*, a plant whose foliage and flowers rival each other in elegance'²⁸. These references aside, Hanbury and Marshall cited no printed sources,



but gave a scattering of records from contributors to their Flora of Kent across the county, and referred to the

George M. Arnold (1883). *Robert Pocock, the Gravesend historian, naturalist, antiquarian, botanist, and printer.* Thanks are due to Malcolm Jennings and Pauline Heathcote for helping to unravel the position.

²⁷ 'Pett's' in the original manuscript.

²⁸ Proceedings of the Botanical Society of London (1839): 84.


species as a rather rare colonist, growing on cultivated and waste ground, chiefly on a sandy soil. The lack of early printed record for the county is unusual in the context of archaeophyte arable weeds, where the normal position would be early recognition of abundance, followed by a twentieth century decline with changing agricultural methods.

Wye. Photo by Geoffrey Kitchener, 13 January 2016

Despite Treacle-mustard being 'rather rare' in 1899, Philp (1982) gave it in 100 tetrads across the county for the 1971-80 survey, quite local, but often common where found. Records were fuller in the north west and south east of the county, but otherwise were widely scattered. By the 1991-2005 survey published in Philp (2010), the total had reduced to 27 tetrads, without any discernible pattern, although lacking from the north east. It was then said to be a plant of cultivated and waste ground, particularly arable fields, and appeared to have declined in recent years, probably through changes in farming practices. Rich (1991)²⁹ mentions its susceptibility to herbicides, and these may have a role in the decline, especially if more effective herbicides or dosages were being used in the 1980/90s than in the 1960s/70s.



The 1991-2005 distributional data are given in the following map from Philp (2010), with kind permission of the late Eric Philp and the Kent Field Club. Data acquired from 2010 onwards has been recorded at 1km square (monad) level, i.e. at a finer scale than the tetrads given in Philp (2010). The distribution map for 2010-16 records shows that very little is being seen of *Erysimum cheiranthoides* in the county at all, which suggests that the decline is continuing. Recent sites have included arable, a rubbish tip on a golf course, allotments or similar land and the weedy margin of a *Chenopodium quinoa* crop.



²⁹ T.C.G. Rich (1991). *Crucifers of Great Britain and Ireland*.

local persistence. Normal flowering is from May to November, but the mild winter of 2015-16 demonstrated an ability to flower and fruit on young plants into January (see photographs at Wye).

The narrow, entire leaves, the small yellow flowers and the presence of hairs on the plant which are rough to touch should together distinguish Treacle-mustard from other crucifers.

Wye. Photo by Geoffrey Kitchener, 13 January 2016.





Barham. Photo by Colin Osborne, 13 July 2015.

Euphorbia cyparissias L. (Cypress Spurge)

Draft account

vc 15 (introduced and possibly native) and vc 16 (introduced)

Rarity / scarcity status:

Cypress Spurge is included in the rare plant register by virtue of its potentially native status in East Kent, although this status is not a generally accepted position. It is currently treated as a neophyte, or introduced plant in the British Isles, where it is widespread and generally an obvious garden escape, but it is acknowledged as 'Possibly native in chalk grassland in East Kent and perhaps elsewhere in SE Eng[land]' and 'sometimes regarded as a native of chalk grassland in S.E. England'. Because it is labelled as a neophyte, it enjoys no conservation standing. In Kent, it is **scarce**, but its potential native occurrences are to a degree obscured by introductions. Its site at Whinlees Down, Dover has the best claim to native status and is probably the finest population in the British Isles³².

Account:

As a potentially native plant, its first Kent records were made by Eyre de Crespigny, who collected material where plentiful on a chalky hill-slope near Dover in 1876 (specimen noted by Hanbury and Marshall, 1899, and now in Cambridge University Herbarium). He elaborated on this in the *Botanical Exchange Club Report for 1879*, from which it appears that the plant was on the slope of the hill under Diggle's Tower, Dover, 'plenty and to all appearances wild'. Diggle's Tower was also known as Diggle's Folly, situated at TR3006 4160, and



the general area is Whinlees Down, where it is still present (2011). Hanbury and Marshall (1899) also record correspondence from Sydney Webb of Dover, who thought that there must be localities other than Diggle's Hill, as he saw the same plant in children's garlands on May-Day, presumably in circumstances which suggested that it was gathered elsewhere.

Whinless Downs. Photo by Paul Sampson, 22 April 2009

Other early records (Sydenham, 1859 and near Penshurst, before 1899) were not credited as native. However, the Dover occurrence was

treated by Hanbury and Marshall (1899) as perhaps indigenous, on the basis that the Continental distribution of the species rather favoured this. Cypress Spurge is frequent in continental Europe up to, but not within 20 miles of, the Channel coast and its growth in dry, chalky situations in Normandy and elsewhere mirrors the Dover occurrence.

Francis Rose's unpublished Flora of Kent listed five East Kent sites of a status to support an assessment of being almost certainly native in East Kent. These were at Whinlees Down, Langdon Barracks (east Dover),

 $^{^{30}}$ C.A. Stace (2010). *New Flora of the British Isles,* third edition.

³¹ (eds.) C.D. Preston, D.A. Pearman & T.D. Dines (2002). New Atlas of the British & Irish Flora.

³² Assessment by Rosemary FitzGerald in manuscript notes of May 1987 which include much information that has been drawn on for this account.

Gravel Castle (Barham), Juliberry Downs (Chilham) and Down Wood (Chilham). There was also an unconfirmed record from near Acrise in the 1950s. Philp (2010) added that its presence on the chalk at Darland Banks also looked convincing, although this is some distance from the other Dover- and Chilham-based records. *Euphorbia cyparissias* is rhizomatous and persistent, so one would expect continuity from these locations, but the Gravel Castle site was destroyed by A2-related works around 1949 and there do not seem to be recent records from Chilham (first recorded in 1932 and seen by Francis Rose, 1945-59). Philp (2010) lists Darland Banks and two tetrads for Dover, with garden escapes at two other East Kent locations.

The generous growth of *Euphorbia cyparissias* renders it all too 'easy' a garden plant. Garden catalogue descriptions such as 'ground-cover' or 'vigorously spreading' suggest that one should read between the lines –

'can run amok if left unattended' is probably fairer warning, and indicates how the scatter of roadside throwout records may have arisen. The rhizomes tend to break up with their adventitious buds, each a source of fresh growth, when disturbed. There will have been plenty of opportunities for escape, as the species has been in cultivation in the British Isles at least since 1640, when John Parkinson (in his *Theatrum Botanicum*) stated that it occurred 'no where naturall in England that I can heare, but in the gardens of those that are lovers of plants...often-times found in the Country gardens of poore folkes in many places with us'.



Littlestone, an escape. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 17 April 2012

Parkinson's assessment was accordingly against the species being of native status in England; and a species being first recorded in gardens before it is recorded in the wild is one of the criteria used in the *New Atlas of the British & Irish Flora* for identifying plants as non-native, as described by David Pearman (2007)³³. Indeed, this point (against a background of disagreement between previous authorities) is perhaps the most persuasive one here, together with the evidence of Cypress Spurge's scattered and increasing British distribution, which is suggestive of a series of introductions. There can be little doubt that in general it is an introduced species in the British Isles, although this should not necessarily mean that every population is



introduced, as Pearman then infers. It is questionable that potentially native status within a small area should be ruled out by an assertion that local Flora writers do not stand back and look at the national or European position, and that amongst optimists, Marshall and Francis Rose head the list of consistent pleaders for native status for favoured species.

Whinlees Downs. Photo by Lady Rosemary FitzGerald, 5 May 1987

³³ D.A. Pearman (2007). 'Far from any house' – assessing the status of doubtfully native species in the flora of the British Isles. *Watsonia* 26: 271-290.

However, as much as one can say is that the Dover *Euphorbia cyparissias* is reasonably related to its continental European distribution in terms of geography and habitat; it is at least a fairly long-established population; and it looks as native as the rest of the good native flora which accompanies it.

At Whinlees Down, it occurs in large quantity on the south-facing slope at the Dover end of the Down. The Down is used for recreational walking and is *Bromopsis erecta* grassland, with a fine chalk flora. Associated species listed by Joyce Pitt in 1987 comprised *Avenula pratensis* (Meadow Oat-grass), *Bromopsis erecta* (Upright Brome), *Carex flacca* (Glaucus Sedge), *Cirsium acaule* (Dwarf Thistle), *Centaurea scabiosa* (Greater Knapweed), *Genista tinctoria* (Dyer's Greenweed), *Helianthemum nummularium* (Common Rock-rose), *Helminthotheca echioides* (Bristly Oxtongue), *Hippocrepis comosa* (Horseshoe Vetch), *Leontodon hispidus* (Rough Hawkbit), *Lotus corniculatus* (Common Bird's-foot-trefoil), *Origanum vulgare* (Wild Marjoram), *Pilosella*

officinarum (Mouse-ear Hawkweed), Pimpinella saxifraga (Burnet Saxifrage), Polygala vulgaris (Common Milkwort), Poterium sanguisorba (Salad Burnet), Primula veris (Cowslip) and Ranunculus bulbosus (Bulbous Buttercup).

Whinlees Downs. Photo by Sue Buckingham, June 2014

Cypress Spurge is a perennial, spreading by its rhizomes and by seed, except for a sterile diploid form propagated as a garden ornamental, which is believed to have arisen as a mutant between 1768 and 1818³⁴. At Whinlees Down, a rare rust



(*Uromyces scutellatus*, a potential biocontrol agent for some weedy spurges) has been found growing on some of the sterile shoots, which then deform, developing broad, instead of linear, leaves with dark spore masses on the undersides.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|---|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Sidcup (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4572 | | 3 July 2015 | RMB | Coming up through tarmac of pavement outside 66 Brooklands Avenue, spread from garden. |
| Tripcock Ness (metropolitan vc16) | Probably TQ4580 | | 20 May 1984 | RMB | SW of the Ness, a large patch with another of <i>E. x pseudovirgata</i> . Site since fenced off and unviewable. |
| Hall Place, Bexley (metropolitan vc16) | TQ5074 | | 1999 | JRP | Weed on walls. |
| Hextable | TQ5270 | | 13 September 2007 | JRP | |
| Sole Street - Camer | TQ6567 | | (1) 29 July 2011 (2) 23 July 1993 | (1) RR (2) JP | (1) At TQ 658 671 beside the Wealdway footpath bordering an arable field a large patch in bloom. The patch extended for c.20 metres in length and c.1 metre in depth. (2) TQ 658 671, by Wealdway. |
| Medway Bridges area | TQ7267 | | 30 April 2010 | GK | TQ 72699 67930, patch c. 3x2m on chalk roadside, Roman Road, spreading into rough vegetation |

³⁴ A.E. Stahevitch, C.W. Crompton & W.A. Wojtas (1988). The biology of Canadian weeds 85. *Euphorbia cyparissias* L. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 68: 175-191.

| | | | | | and presumed to be an escape (still |
|--|--------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | present 22 May 2012). |
| Wainscott | TQ7371 | | 13 July 2011 | BS | |
| North Boughton Monchelsea | TQ7651 | | 20 April 2016 | BW | |
| Darland Banks | TQ7865 | | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | Given as TQ76X and may well be the same as EGP's 1989 record at TQ 785 650. |
| Darland Banks | TQ7965 | | (1) 28 May 2015 (2) 4 May 2014 (3) 7 June 2010 | (1) DC (2) DS (3) SP & DG | (2) TQ 791 656, patches covering 10m x 0.5m either side of path on sw facing slope, Darland Bank. (3) TQ 79348 65458, Darland Banks, patch about 5 x 5 metres. |
| Lade | TR02V | | 14 September 2006 | EGP | Roadside verge, garden escape. |
| Selling | TR0546 | | 4 September 2010 | LR | A couple of plants, although of uncertain status on earth piles following excavations. |
| Dungeness | TR0816 | | 25 May 2013 | OL | Four plants by a large <i>Crambe maritima</i> about 200 metres away from a garden spreading patch (TR 08877 16844) next to the Old Lighthouse garden, TR 08859 16714. |
| Dungeness – Long Pits | TR0818 | | 31 May 2013 | OL | One small clump on north side of Battery Road, Dungeness/Lydd-onsea. TR 08936 18592. |
| Lade | TR0820 | | 28 May 2013 | OL | One clump at base of railway sign on the Romney, Hythe and Dymchurch railway at the Williamson Road crossing, Lade, TR 08495 20501. |
| Littlestone | TR0824 | | (1) 17 April 2012 (2) 20 April 2010 | (1) LR (2) GK | (2) TR08433.24831, verge of St Andrew's Road, presumed originally planted but spreading strongly. |
| Western Dover (Elms Vale or Whinlees Down) | TR2941 | CROW access land | (1) 23 October 2011 (2) 25 July 2010 (3) 5 May 1987 | (1) SB (2) SC (3) NS & RF | (1) Plants spread over 10 metres of chalk grassland, TR29800 41671. (2) Strong colonies. (3) TR292413, steep chalk scarp, south-facing <i>Bromopsis erecta</i> grassland. This location approximately where first recorded in East Kent, in 1876, and the next three sites below are probably all related. |
| Western Dover (Farthingloe) | TR24V | CROW access land | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Western Dover | TR34A | CROW access land | 25 June 1999 | EGP & PHe | |
| Western Dover (Tower Hamlets) | TR3041 | CROW access land | 23 October 2011 | SB | Many plants spread over 5 x 2 metres of chalk grassland TR30052 41598. |
| Dover, Langdon Barracks | TR3344 | | | FR & RF | [TR334411]. This grid reference is not quite accurate, for it lies within the waters of Dover Harbour, but it was intended for the chalk grassland above the cliffs, below the former barracks, where also recorded by FR in 1948. |
| | TR34I | | 23 August 2006 | EGP | Roadside verge, garden escape. |


Euphorbia exigua L. (Dwarf Spurge)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Euphorbia exigua is a fairly common arable weed across south and east England, largely absent in Scotland and infrequent in Ireland. It has, as with many arable weeds, long been in decline with agricultural intensification. This trend continues, such that it is regarded as **Near Threatened** in Great Britain as a whole, but **Vulnerable** to a risk of extinction in England. In Kent, there is also evidence of serious decline in recent years, although it is still sufficiently frequent that no rarity / scarcity designation is called for.



Kitchen Field, Ranscombe Farm, habitat. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 24 July 2012

Account:

The first record of Dwarf Spurge in Kent was by Thomas Johnson on 13 July 1629, as he travelled by the main road from Gravesend to Rochester (*Iter Plantarum*, 1629). Where noted at all by the early local Flora writers, it is regarded as very common in cornfields, and Hanbury and Marshall (1899) had little to say about this species other than that was frequent in cornfields, etc. in all districts.

By the time of Philp (1982), it was regarded as somewhat localised, primarily a plant of the northern half of the county; and it was seen particularly in cornfields on the chalk, where it was usually common when found. This survey (1971-80) produced 200 tetrad records across the administrative county. The survey


of 1991-2005 (Philp, 2010) shows a dramatic change, with only 74 tetrad records. The species seems to be in retreat to core areas of chalk arable in East Kent and around the Medway Gap. Agricultural practices, especially the heavy application of herbicides, appear to have been discouraging Dwarf Spurge, with other arable weeds.



Ranscombe Farm. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 24 June 2010

Euphorbia exigua is an annual, rarely perennial, and distinctive by virtue of its generally small size, linear leaves and greyish-green colour.

As this species is not uncommon in Kent, the distributional data maintained in this register will be at 1km square (monad) level. This will entail recording at a finer scale than the tetrads given in Philp (2010), from which the following 1991-2005 distribution map is taken (with kind permission of the late Eric Philp and the Kent Field Club).



From the larger map it will be seen that records made for the period 2010-16 confirm the overall distribution pattern and the core areas. With presence identified 72 in tetrads (represented by 127 records covering 90 different monads), we have almost caught up with Eric Philp's 74 tetrads and it may be that the position is stabilising following the decline between the surveys in Philp (1982) and Philp (2100).

Euphorbia exigua (Dwarf Spurge) 2010-16



5 5 7 9 0 2 2 3

Euphorbia exigua (Dwarf Spurge) 1991-2005

Ranscombe Farm. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 9 June 2010

Euphorbia paralias L. (Sea Spurge)

Draft account

vc 15

Rarity / scarcity status:

Sea Spurge is locally distributed on sandy shores and dunes of the British Isles, but is absent from the east coast north of the Wash and virtually absent all of Scotland. This distribution is fairly stable, and its conservation status is one of 'Least Concern', both in England and in Great Britain as a whole. In Kent, it may well be expanding its range, although it is still **scarce** (and non-existent in West Kent).

Sandwich Bay. Photos by Lliam Rooney, 22 June 2010

Account:

The first published record for Kent is by John Gerard in his *Herball* (1597), where he states that 'it groweth by the sea side upon the rowling sande



and baich....at Whitstable in Kent, and many other places'. Marshall stated in the *Victoria History of the County of Kent* (1908) that it had 'become extremely scarce owing to the inroads of the sea'. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) listed a number of historic records — including at Queenborough, Sheerness, Reculver, South Foreland and Lydden Spout — but had regarded




it as extinct at most of its stations. It is likely, then as now, that its main station remained the Sandwich area, where it has been present since 1632. By the time of Philp (1982), this was considered to be

the only locality, albeit present in three tetrads.

Euphorbia paralias (Sea Spurge 2010-16

The dynamism of its habitats may be responsible both for decline and expansion or re-establishment, given its growth on sandy shore dunes. Since the low point of the 1971-80 survey, it has been found at Swalecliffe and also (Philp, 2010) at Shellness in Sheppey and at the Greatstone dunes, and so should be regarded as scarce, rather than rare, in the county. Indeed, our 2010-16 records amount to ten tetrads (the equivalent of 16 monads), which only just qualifies the species as a rare plant register taxon. This may be as, if not more, plentiful as it has ever been in the



county, given that it is likely to have been reasonably well recorded at any time, through the nature of its habitat. In view of the number of records, a distribution map is included here as well as a data table.

Euphorbia paralias is a distinctly fleshy, grey-green perennial which grows deep-rooted in the sand. The other maritime spurge, Euphorbia portlandica (Portland Spurge), has thinner leaves with a prominent midrib beneath; but should not be present on the Kent coast (albeit that an inland colony of Portland Spurge, no doubt of anthropogenic origin, was found in 2010). Sea Spurge is adapted to the shifting sands by the anchorage of its tap-root, its ability to throw out long shoots from the root-crown when buried and by the shoots being able to develop side branches to grow through an accumulation of sand. Seeds are spread by explosive dispersal up to 2 metres away, but may spread further through wind or sand slopes. They are also buoyant and so capable of being spread to fresh sites where within reach of the tides, although usually the plant is to be found on the mobile fore-dunes at the upper beach and only sometimes near the strandline. Its Kent habitats include sand with a mixture of shingle, but shingle is evidently not favoured.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|---|
| Shellness | TR0468 | | 29November 2014 | SB | A good number of plants in dunes at TR 04948 68825. |
| Shellness | TR0567 | | (1) 12 April 2015 (2) 14 October 2010 (3) 30 May 2010 | (1) KBRG meeting (2) SB (3) SP & DG | (2) TR 05311 67672, One plant at top of shell sand beach.(3) TR 0531 6767, one plant by track. |
| Shellness | TR0568 | | 14 October 2010 | SB | Small dune area at top of shell sand beach. One plant at TR 05141 68445, two more at TR 05249 68306. Recorded in this area by FR in 1959. |
| Littlestone | TR0823 | | 11 June 2011 | KBRG meeting | Not uncommon on Greatstone dunes. |
| West of Seasalter | TR0865 | | 29 April 2016 | KBRG meeting | A few plants on the beach at TR 08902 65234. |
| Seasalter | TR0965 | | 29 April 2016 | KBRG meeting | A few plants on the beach at TR 0919 6533 and at TR 0900 6525. |
| Tankerton / Swalecliffe | TR1367 | | 13 December 2016 | СО | Not yet flowering on landward side of stream outflow on sandy shingle at TR 135 676. |
| Swalecliffe | TR1467 | | 15 September 2011 | СО | One plant at back of beach against seawall at c.TR 143 677, first noticed before 2010. |
| Sandwich - Shellness | TR3462 | | 20 June 2011 | SB & LR | Dune grassland, TR 34848 62675. |
| Sandwich Bay | TR3558 | | (1) 16 July 2013 (2) 27 June 1985 | (1) CO (2) RF | (1) Two clumps on shingle beach. |
| Sandwich Bay | TR3559 | | (1) 3 July 2016 (2) 6 June 2015 (3) 16 July 2013 (4) 24 June 2010 | (1) AW (2) DS (3) CO (4) SB | (1) NNR (2) TR 35630 59622, over 20 plants scattered along beach just above high tide mark, flowering. (3) Common at top of beach. (4) TR 35549 59968, plants scattered in dunes of sand with shingle southwards for 300 metres, declining as shingle takes over from sand. |
| Sandwich Bay | TR3560 | | (1) 1 September 2010 (2) 22 June 2010 | (1) SB (2) LR | (1) TR 35521 60126, seaward edge of sand dunes and also near drift line on sand and shingle beach. (2) About TR 354 605 to TR 351 617. |

| Sandwich Bay | TR3561 | (1) 1 September | (1) SB | (1) TR 35362 61007, In profusion |
|---------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | 2010 | (2) LR | on seaward edge of sand dunes, |
| | | (2) 22 June 2010 |) | backed by a continuous belt of |
| | | | | Hippophae rhamnoides. |
| | | | | (2) About TR 354 605 to TR 351 |
| | | | | 617. |
| Sandwich / | TR3562 | 23 September 2 | 015 KBRG | |
| Pegwell Bay | | | meeting | |
| Sandwich Bay | TR3658 | After 1970, befo | re Philp (1982) | Recorded as TQ35U. |
| | | 1981 | | |
| Cliftonville | TR3871 | 13 September 2 | 010 CO | One small, non-flowering patch. |
| Kingsgate Bay | TR3970 | (1) 14 May 2013 | (1) SB | (1) Patch of plants c. 15 x 10m on |
| | | (2) 18 May 2012 | (2) & (3) CO | sand dunes at TR 3956 7063. |
| | | (3) 13 Septembe | er (4) JoG | (2) 50+ clumps on sandy dune area, |
| | | 2010 | | taking over dune. |
| | | (4) 28 September | er | (3) One patch with six distinct |
| | | 2008 | | clumps on sand dune area of |
| | | | | Kingsgate Bay. |
| | | | | (4) TQ 395 706, about 10 plants at |
| | | | | sandy beach. |
| | | | | Seen also in this area by EGP & BW, |
| | | | | but not given in Philp, 1982 or |
| | | | | 2010. |

Euphrasia confusa Pugsley (Confused Eyebright)

Draft account

vc 15; confirmation of continued presence in vc 16 is needed

Rarity / scarcity status:

Euphrasia confusa is widespread in the British Isles, particularly favouring hill pastures in the north and west, but is largely absent from central and south east England. In the list for Great Britain It is not assigned any particular threat status for conservation purposes, but this is because of lack of knowledge, Euphrasia being a critical group which may not be well recorded in general. There is, however, sufficient confidence in current English data for it to be treated as **Vulnerable** to a risk of extinction in that country. In Kent, it appears to be reduced to one area (two tetrads) and is **rare**.

Account:

Euphrasia confusa was not named until 1919, although plants of this species were previously called Euphrasia minima. Marshall was aware of this taxon from other parts of Britain, but was not aware of it in Kent, as it receives no mention in Hanbury and Marshall (1899). The first published Kent records were given by Francis Rose (1960)³⁵ as at Gossy Banks, north east of Hythe, and at Sandling Park, north west of Hythe, discovered by L.J. Margetts and det. E.F. Warburg. However, it seems that Francis Rose had already found this taxon in 1954 at Hoad's Wood (TQ94L) near Ashford, on a heathy ride on Weald Clay. The specimen, which is in MNE, was not determined by Warburg until 1961 ('seems to be E. confusa').



Pedlinge / Sandling Park, habitat. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 20 September 2013.

Although Philp (1982) gave the species for both Dartford Heath and Sandling Park, the 1991-2005 survey published as Philp (2010) only recorded it at Sandling Park, albeit in two tetrads. Its Kent habitat is heathy grassland, including in woodland rides. When re-found in those tetrads in 2013, one of the sites was a pocket of heathy grassland on sand, with *Galium saxatile* (Heath Bedstraw) and *Calluna vulgaris* (Heather) present, protected on three sides

by woodland and with a margin of *Pteridium aquilinum* (Bracken). The other tetrad site held coarser vegetation, but with acid indicators present such as *Veronica officinalis* (Heath Speedwell) and *Agrostis capillaris* (Common Bent), the ground appearing impoverished.

Confused Eyebright may be distinguished from other Kent species of *Euphrasia* by a combination of features: the middle and upper leaves either lack glandular hairs (as was the case with the 2013 Kent records), or if they possess them, their stalks are not more than six times as long as their gland-heads; it is an inland plant with

³⁵ F. Rose (1960). Botanical Records for Kent, 1955-58 – Vascular Plants. *Transactions of the Kent Field Club* 1: 56-65.

flexuous stems and branches (i.e. not straight or gradually curved); the leaves near the base of the branches are very small; and the lower bracts are mostly alternate. In comparison with *Euphrasia nemorosa* (Common Eyebright), the lilac flowers appear large and the plants themselves slender and somewhat delicate.



Confused Eyebright at Pedlinge / Sandling Park. Photos by Lliam Rooney, 20 September 2013



The hybrid with *Euphrasia nemorosa* (Common Eyebright) is, despite under-recording, known to be widespread in the British Isles, but is not yet confirmed in Kent, although Francis Rose's collection from Hoad's Wood included possible candidates. The hybrid with *Euphrasia officinalis* subsp. *anglica* (English Eyebright) has been found at Knole Park – see the account for that species.

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|---|--|--|
| Dartford Heath | TQ57G | | August 1979 | EGP (Philp, 1982) | |
| Sandling Park area | TR1336 | | (1) 4 September 2015 (2) 20 September 2013 (3) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) SB (2) SB, LR & AG (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) Estimated population size this year at known site, TR1366 3618, was c. 300 plants. (2) 200 plants scattered in heathy grassland from TR 13641 36192 to TR 13680 36147. Associated species Agrostis capillaris, Galium saxatile, Veronica officinalis and Rumex acetosella. The area adjoins deciduous woodland with a margin of Pteridium aquilinum and two patches of Calluna vulgaris. (3) Given as TR13I. |
| Sandling Park area | TR13N | | (1) 20 September 2013 (2) After 1990, before 2006 | (1) SB, LR & AG (2) EGP (Philp, 2010) | (1) Many hundreds of plants on open grassy hillside within Sandling Park beginning at TR 14029 36165 and continuing (full extent not ascertained). Associated plants Holcus lanatus, Agrostis capillaris, Anthoxanthum odoratum, Veronica officinalis, Potentilla anserina and Polygala serpyllifolia. (2) Given as TR13N. |

Euphrasia officinalis subsp. anglica (Pugsley) Silverside (English Eyebright)

Draft account.

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

English Eyebright is probably endemic to the British Isles and is local in southern Britain and in Ireland, although its distribution is imperfectly known. Despite this uncertainty, there appears to be evidence of decline, and the species is regarded both in England and Great Britain as a whole as **Endangered**, carrying a very high risk of extinction in the near future. It is treated as a UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority species, for which the planned action includes ensuring appropriate agricultural management at key sites; ensuring that agri-environment schemes provide for benefits to all *Euphrasia* species in the surrounding landscape; and producing a definitive *Euphrasia* identification handbook. The number of county sites has reduced to a level at which this taxon would almost qualify for local scarcity on these grounds, and in view of its decline as well, it is treated as **scarce** in Kent.




Lord's / Wichling Wood. Photo by Sue Buckingham, 7 July 2014

Account:

Euphrasia officinalis subsp. anglica was only named (as Euphrasia anglica) in 1929, and earlier references to eyebrights are not easy to interpret. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) confessed that the various species and subspecies had received hardly any attention in Kent and this is apparent from the vagueness of their statements in relation to contemporary taxa ('Doubtless abundant'; 'Likely to occur frequently'; 'Probably quite common'; 'This should be found on many of the heaths'). Marshall did find in Surrey what later became known as this taxon, but it is not apparent that he also succeeded in Kent. The

earliest Kent record currently traced is probably a specimen of 1838 in MNE marked as from Tunbridge Wells (det. P.F. Yeo), although one cannot be confident which side of the vice county boundary it was found.



In the 1940s and 1950s it was widely recorded in the county, including from Holwood Park, Snodland, Halling, Covert Wood, Temple Ewell, Folkestone Warren, King's Wood (Langley), Hothfield, Kilndown Wood, Bedgebury,



Goudhurst, Tenterden and Saltwood. Thereafter it continued to be recorded as widely scattered, albeit scarce, in Kent, with 19 tetrads recorded in Philp (1982). By the time of Philp (2010) the number of tetrads had reduced to ten in the administrative county, with a focus in the Weald, so there is some evidence of recent decline; and, indeed, only six tetrad records have been made in 2010-16 (the equivalent of ten monads).

In Kent it has been recorded in grassy areas on acid soils, whether sand or wet clay. However, it has also been confirmed from short turf on the chalk downs. It hybridizes with *Euphrasia nemorosa* (Common Eyebright) and Philp (2010) noted the cross as present during the period 1990-2005 at Luddesdown (TQ66S, chalky bank), Mount Ephraim (TQ66W, open chalk scrub), Thurnham (TQ85E, chalk grassland) and Queendown Warren (TQ86G, chalk grassland slopes). Plants broadly resembled *E. nemorosa*, but upper leaves had the glandular hairs of English Eyebright. At none of these locations was pure English Eyebright then recorded. Possible hybrids with *Euphrasia pseudokerneri* (Chalk Eyebright) were noted near Snodland in 1954. Material collected from Knole Park in 2015 in the expectation that this was English Eyebright was determined by the expert panel of the BSBI project *An Eye for Eyebrights* as *Euphrasia officinalis* subsp. *anglica* x *E. confusa* (Confused Eyebright). Details are given in blue in the table below; but this determination may also have implications for earlier records from this location.

English Eyebright is somewhat greyish-looking and distinctly glandular-haired. The length of the glandular hairs on middle and upper leaves is diagnostic for this species amongst Kent *Euphrasia*: the hair stalks should be at least six times, and generally 10 to 12 times, the length of the glandular heads borne by them.



Glandular hairs. Material from Lord's / Wichling Wood.

Photo by Lliam Rooney, 7 July 2014

| Site | Grid reference | Site status | Last record date | Recorder | Comments |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|
| Gilridge / Shernden | TQ44L | | (1) 14 June 2015 (2) 8 July 2005 | (1) SL (2) PHe & EGP | (1) TQ 4598 4320, widespread plants in flower across valley bottom at Cowden Pound Pastures KWT reserve, with large flowers and long glandular hairs on leaves. (2) Recorded as TQ44L. |
| Markbeech | TQ44R | | 8 July 2005 | PHe & EGP | |
| Hayes Common (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4065 | | (1) 12 August 1989 (2) 1988 | (1) LNHS meeting (2)GH | (1) Shown by GH, in (NE?) angle of West Common Rd / Croydon Rd crossroads. [Not refound by RMB when the exact location was revisited in 2006.] (2)TQ 406 651. |
| Keston (metropolitan vc16) | TQ4164 | | (1) 6 August 2106 (2) 6 July 2012 | (1) SL (2) SB & class | (1) Keston, sloping meadow north of ponds, TQ 418 644. In flower at base of meadow.(2) Frequent in meadow, Keston Common, TQ 4181 6440. |
| Chiddingstone Hoath | TQ5141 | | 1989 | JP | Tubb's Hole Pastures, TQ 517 415. |
| Knole Park Fawke Common | TQ5452, TQ5453, TQ5533 | SSSI | [(1) 23 July 2015] (2) 11 August 2014 (3) After 1990, before 2006 | [(1) GK] (2)SB (3) EGP (Philp, 2010) | [(1) For at least 50m along Chestnut Walk, but probably much more extensive than this. Acid grassland, deer-grazed, on Greensand. Most plants were found on the southern side of Chestnut walk, where there is some tree shade and the sward is higher. Concentrations at (a) TQ54929 53382, a patch of 40 x |

| | | | | 20cm, (b) TQ54925 53340, a patch of 10 x 10 cm, (c) TQ54932 53345, a patch of 2 x 1.5m, (d) TQ54961 53373, an area with plants scattered over 3 x 50m. Associated spp. Agrostis capillaris, Anthoxanthum odoratum, Linum catharticum, Potentilla erecta, Senecio jacobaea, Trifolium repens, Viola riviniana.] Material collected was subsequently, however, redetermined as the hybrid with E. confusa. (2) Frequent in acid grassland alongside Chestnut Walk in Knole Park e.g. at TQ 54338 52823, TQ 5495 5336 and TQ 5458 5306. Also at TQ 5503 5344 near the gate into Park. In all cases, associated spp: Agrostis capillaris, Anthoxanthum |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|--|---|
| | | | | odoratum, Potentilla erecta and Galium saxatile. (3) Given as TQ55L, Fawke Common, but the site name may be general for the tetrad and represent part of Knole Park. |
| Pembury Walks | TQ6142 | 9 September 2004 | JP & JW | TQ 619 424. |
| Pembury Hall | TQ64G | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Upper Halling | TQ66X | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Combwell | TQ73B | 24 July 2002 | EGP | |
| Bedgebury | TQ7133 | 28 August 2016 | | Bedgebury Pinetum, path along edge of pond, TQ 7180 3355, with Tormentil, Carex pilulifera, Solidago virgaurea and Calluna. |
| Bedgebury | TQ7233 | (1) 10 August 2011 (2) 10 July 1988 | (1) KBRG meeting (2) EGP | (1) TQ 7209 3360, small colony of plants in valley bottom near <i>Oreopteris limbosperma</i> . (2) TQ 725 335. |
| Bedgebury | TQ7333 | (1) 15 August 2014 (2) 26 August 1986 | (1) LR & JA (2) JP | (1) Present on a woodland ride at TQ 73488 33421. (2) TQ 735 330. |
| Little Pix Hall | TQ73F | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Three Chimneys | TQ73H | After 1990, before 2006 | EGP (Philp, 2010) | |
| Lord's Wood / Wichling Wood | TQ95C | (1) 22 August 2015 (2) 7 July 2014 (3) 2 July 2003 | (1) KFC meeting (2) SB (2) BW & EGP | (1) A few plants scattered about midway up the slope at TQ 91864 56682, with typical calcicoles. (2) Very plentiful around TQ 901 558, some growing alongside Anacamptis pyramidalis and Blackstonia perfoliata. E. nemorosa also noted in some areas, but hybrid not seen. (3) TQ9055. |

Euphrasia pseudokerneri Pugsley (Chalk or Large-flowered Eyebright)

Draft account

vc 15 and 16

Rarity / scarcity status:

Chalk Eyebright is a plant of chalk and limestone in south east England, also found rarely in Wales and Ireland. It is probably endemic to the British Isles; it is nationally scarce; and as the species appears to be decreasing through ploughing up of habitat and through agricultural improvement of downland pastures, it is regarded as **Endangered** in Great Britain as a whole, although in England falling just short of qualifying for this status, with a level of decline rendering it **Vulnerable** to the risk of extinction in the wild. It is also a UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority species, for which the planned action includes ensuring appropriate agricultural management at key sites; ensuring that agri-environment schemes provide for benefits to all *Euphrasia* species in the surrounding landscape; and producing a definitive *Euphrasia* identification handbook. The Kent North Downs are one of its British strongholds and the number of county sites is such that no local scarcity / rarity designation is required.



Lydden / Temple Ewell. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 4 September 2012

Account:

Chalk Eyebright was first published for Kent by Frederick Townend in his *Monograph of the British Species of Euphrasia* (Journal of Botany, 1897, at p.470), where he listed it (then known as *E. kerneri*) as found by A.H. Wolley Dod on chalk at Upper Halling in September 1894. It may not be the first finding, as there is a specimen at Manchester Museum collected by Dr Gustavus St Brody at Maidstone in 1891, which purports to be this species. Hanbury and Marshall (1899) had no records other than Upper Halling, but considered Chalk Eyebright likely to occur frequently on the downs. This no doubt was true, but there are relatively few records until the 1950s. Philp (1982) listed 42 tetrad records for the period 1971-80, along the North Downs from the Surrey border to the cliff tops of the east

coast. By 1990-2005, the record total had become 28 tetrads (Philp, 2010), so this may be evidence of decline in Kent (as nationally).

Euphrasia pseudokerneri is a hemiparasitic annual of short downland turf, particularly on grazed higher slopes. It germinates in spring and is a late flowerer, in August, September or even October; and Alan Silverside (1994)³⁶ surmises that this perhaps affords some reproductive isolation from Euphrasia nemorosa (Common Eyebright), with which it hybridizes. The latter species starts flowering in late June or early July and continues into the flowering period of Chalk Eyebright. Euphrasia nemorosa may replace Chalk Eyebright on lower slopes or rougher grassland, with hybrid swarms where species overlap. Philp (1982) refers to intermediate plants usually being present where the two species grow together, although the hybrid was not recorded specifically in that survey; and in Philp (2010) the only hybrid record was near Otford (TQ56A). There are earlier finds in chalk grassland (Hogtrough Hill at Brasted, 1954; Snodland, 1954; Luddesdown, 1959; St Margaret's Bay, 1959) which have been expertly determined as either possibly or probably this hybrid.

_

³⁶ A.J. Silverside, Euphrasia pseudokerneri Pugsley, in (eds.) A. Stewart, D.A. Pearman & C.D. Preston (1994), Scarce Plants in Britain, JNCC.

The two species are usually separated on the basis of:

- bracts (mostly long bristle-tipped in *E. pseudokerneri*, not so pronounced in *E. nemorosa* (but still acute to acuminate);
- capsule and calyx (capsule much shorter than the calyx in *E. pseudokerneri*; usually slightly shorter in *E. nemorosa*);
- flower size (corolla (6)7-9(11)mm in *E. pseudokerneri*; 5-7.5(8.5)mm in *E. nemorosa*).




Lydden / Temple Ewell. Photo by Lliam Rooney, 4 September 2012



The range of variation means that no single description will cover hybrid plants and, indeed, the variability of a population may best afford recognition; but those hybrid plants which may be identified more readily will have the large flowers and very sharp bract teeth of *E. pseudokerneri*, but the habit of *E. nemorosa*

Hope Point, habitat. Photo by Geoffrey Kitchener, 21 September 2014



As this species is not uncommon in Kent, the distributional data maintained in this register will be at 1km square (monad) level. This will entail recording at a finer scale than the tetrads given in Philp (2010), from which the following 1991-2005 distribution map is taken (with kind permission of the late Eric Philp and the Kent Field Club).

Euphrasia pseudokerneri (Chalk Eyebright) 2010-16

Euphrasia pseudokerneri (Chalk Eyebright) 1991-2005

Records from 2010-16 relate to 40 different monads (the equivalent of 29 tetrads) and suggest that any decline of the species may not be as extensive as Philp (2010) indicates, at least in East Kent and particularly along the chalk cliffs from Kingsdown to Folkestone, where the eyebright has been noted as especially abundant in the short clifftop turf.

